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## Executive Summary

The 2017 Michigan Wine Economic Impact Study estimates the economic contributions made by the wine industry to the Michigan economy in 2017. John Dunham \& Associates (JDA) conducted this research, which was funded by the Michigan Grape \& Wine Industry Council (MGWIC). This work used standard econometric models first developed by the U.S. Forest Service, and now maintained by IMPLAN Group, LLC. Data came from MGWIC, WineAmerica, additional industry sources, government publications, and Infogroup. ${ }^{1}$

The study defines the Wine Industry as: winegrape growing, wine and cider production, the wholesaling, retailing and direct to consumer sales of wine and cider in the State of Michigan. ${ }^{2}$ The first tier of the industry is comprised of vineyards and apple orchards which grow winegrapes and cider apples. Wineries and cideries, in turn, use these fruits to produce wine and cider. Once the wine or cider is produced and packaged, it is ready for the second tier of the industry - the wholesalers. Wholesalers are responsible for transporting and the short-term storage of wine and cider from producers to the third tier - the retailers. The retailing tier is made up of on-premise retailers such as restaurants, bars, sport stadiums, etc. and offpremise retailers such as liquor stores, grocery stores, etc. In Michigan, wineries and cideries also have the ability to distribute to retailers, as well as sell their products directly to the consumer through their own channels such as tasting rooms and restaurants.

In addition to the three tiers of the industry, the study calculates the economic contribution to each state made through the spending of tourists visiting Michigan's 208 wineries. ${ }^{3}$

Associations and organizations that help develop the wine industry through education and research have been included in this study.

The study measures various factors of the Michigan wine industry including the number of jobs, the wages paid to employees, the value added, and total output. In addition, it assesses the economic impact of the suppliers that support the Michigan wine industry, as well as those industries supported by the induced spending of both the direct and supplier industries.

Every industry inevitably makes purchases from a mix of different industries-thus, an economic activity within one industry always extends beyond its origins, through means such as employee spending. Economic activity started by the wine industry generates output (and jobs) in hundreds of other industries, often in states far removed from the site of the original operation. The impact of supplier firms and the "induced impact" of the re-spending by employees of industry and supplier firms are calculated using an input-output model of the United States. The study calculates the impact at the state, legislative district, and county levels.

The study also estimates taxes paid by the industry and its employees. Federal taxes include industryspecific excise and sales taxes, business and personal income taxes, FICA, and unemployment insurance. State and local tax systems, on the other hand, vary widely. Direct retail taxes include state and local sales taxes, license fees, and applicable gross receipt taxes. Retailers pay real estate and personal property taxes, business income taxes, and other business levies that vary in each state and municipality. All entities engaged in business activity generated by the industry pay similar taxes. In addition to this,

[^0]consumers pay millions in federal, state and local sales and excise taxes when they purchase wine and cider at both on- and off-premise establishments.

Members of the industry and their employees pay $\$ 719.4$ million in federal, state and local business taxes.
Consumption taxes, which account for state and local sales taxes as well as excise taxes that apply to specific retail services, contribute $\$ 134.1$ million to the American and Michigan economy.

## Economic Impact Results

The Michigan Wine Industry includes wineries and cideries, winegrape growers, wholesalers that handle wine and cider, as well as retail establishments that sell wine and cider to consumers, including grocery stores, warehouse clubs, restaurants, and bars. The wine industry also attracts many visitors to wineries and vineyards in Michigan. The economic impact of spending from these visitors is an important aspect of the overall wine industry. Their economic contribution is estimated in the tourism impact and includes spending on lodging, food, transportation, retail purchases, and other spending. In addition, the economic impact of associations, and research and educational organizations which promote and support the wine industry in Michigan are included in the study. Overall, the wine industry in Michigan directly creates 27,930 jobs, generating $\$ 772.6$ million in wages. The industry directly generates $\$ 2.1$ billion in economic activity in the state.

The full economic impact of the wine industry extends beyond the initial direct impacts. In order for these companies to conduct their businesses, they require goods and services that must be purchased from other industries. This additional economic impact is referred to as the supplier impact. Examples of the supplier impacts created by the wine industry include when these businesses purchase farming equipment and supplies, pay rent to landlords, buy packaging materials, hire consultants, drivers, lawyers, and even creating government jobs responsible for the regulation or licensing of wine and cider businesses. This supplier impact also includes goods and services provided by Michigan firms to wineries located throughout the United States. ${ }^{4}$

Furthermore, the induced impact is created when the people employed by the direct and supplier sectors spend their wages. These expenditures can be captured in businesses such as movie theaters, restaurants, retail shops, health care, and education.

Table 1
Michigan Wine Economic Impact

|  | Jobs | Wages | Economic Output |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vineyards | 171 | $\$ 4,713,400$ | $\$ 7,768,100$ |
| Wineries and Cideries | 1,798 | $\$ 84,351,400$ | $\$ 479,058,700$ |
| Wholesale | 1,250 | $\$ 110,756,900$ | $\$ 307,010,700$ |
| Retail | 20,601 | $\$ 478,114,900$ | $\$ 1,083,168,100$ |
| Tourism | 4,042 | $\$ 88,624,400$ | $\$ 243,837,400$ |
| Associations | 10 | $\$ 602,100$ | $\$ 1,657,200$ |
| Research and Education | 58 | $\$ 5,457,400$ | $\$ 14,468,700$ |
| Total Direct Impact | $\mathbf{2 7 , 9 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 7 7 2 , 6 2 0 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 1 3 6 , 9 6 8 , 9 0 0}$ |
| Total Supplier Impact | $\mathbf{7 , 5 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 4 1 , 2 5 9 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 1 8 , 9 5 3 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Total Induced Impact | $\mathbf{1 1 , 8 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 5 5 , 5 1 6 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 4 6 , 3 7 4 , 3 0 0}$ |
| Total Impact | $\mathbf{4 7 , 2 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 6 9 , 3 9 6 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 4 0 2 , 2 9 6 , 2 0 0}$ |

[^1]
## Vineyards and Orchards

The production of wine and cider begins with the farming of winegrapes and cider apples at vineyards and orchards. In Michigan, about 3,050 acres of farmland are used to grow winegrapes. These vineyards produce about 7,100 tons of grapes with a total value of $\$ 9.5$ million to be used specifically in the process of making wine. ${ }^{5}$

Table 2
Winegrape Vineyards in Michigan

| Acres | Quantity (tons) | Winegrape Value | Price per ton |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3,050 | 7,100 | $\$ 9,514,000$ | $\$ 1,340$ |

The vast majority of winegrape vineyards in Michigan are small farms tending to less than 10 acres of vineyards dedicated to growing winegrapes even though the bulk of the grapes are grown by a few large farms. Of the estimated 252 farms in Michigan that are growing winegrapes, 181 farms with less than 10 acres of land used for growing winegrapes amount to a total of 575 acres. Meanwhile, the 24 largest farms with 30 or more acres of land dedicated to growing winegrapes amount to 1,695 acres or about 56 percent of total acres. ${ }^{6}$

Table 3
Breakdown of Winegrape Vineyards in Michigan by Size

|  | Size Group | Farms |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1-9$ |  | 181 | Acres |
| $10-29$ |  | 47 | 575 |
| $30+$ | 24 | 780 |  |
| Total | 252 | 1,695 |  |

It is estimated that vineyards in Michigan directly employ an estimated 171 full-time equivalent (FTE) people growing winegrapes. ${ }^{7}$ These jobs pay a total of $\$ 4.7$ million in wages while contributing $\$ 7.8$ million in economic activity to the state.

Table 4
Economic Impact of Vineyards in Michigan

|  | Jobs | Wages | Economic Output |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vineyards |  | 171 | $\$ 4,713,400$ | $\$ 7,768,100$ |

[^2]
## Wineries and Cideries ${ }^{8}$

Wineries and cideries may grow winegrapes and cider apples in their own vineyards and orchard or they may purchase winegrapes, cider apples or fruit juice from independent vineyards and orchards from across the country to produce their wine and cider. Wineries and cideries then continue the manufacturing process which may include crushing, pressing, and fermenting the fruits, aging and bottling the wine or cider. Wineries and cideries in Michigan may sell directly to consumers through their tasting rooms and on-site restaurants or self-distribute to retailers such as restaurants, bars, and liquor stores. The impact of these are included in the wineries and cideries impact and are not included in the wholesale and retail economic impacts in order to avoid double counting sales. Michigan wineries and cideries employ an estimated 1,798 and generate $\$ 84.4$ million in wages. These firms directly generate $\$ 479.1$ million in economic activity in the state.

Table 5
Economic Impact of Wineries and Cideries in Michigan

|  | Jobs | Wages | Economic Output |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wineries | 1,441 | $\$ 68,181,500$ | $\$ 384,965,800$ |
| Cideries | 357 | $\$ 16,169,900$ | $\$ 94,092,900$ |
| Total | 1,798 | $\$ 84,351,400$ | $\$ 479,058,700$ |

## Wholesalers

The majority of the wine and cider products will then enter the wholesale and retail sectors of the industry. The second tier, wholesaling, involves the transportation of wine and cider from the producers to retailers such as grocery stores, liquor stores, restaurants, and bars. Wholesalers may also provide short term storage for wine and cider products before distributing to retailers. The wholesale industry plays an important role in distributing wine and cider products responsibly and efficiently while creating approximately 1,250 jobs in Michigan. These jobs pay about $\$ 110.8$ million in wages and contribute $\$ 307.0$ million in economic activity to the state.

Table 6
Economic Impact of Wine Wholesalers in Michigan

|  | Jobs | Wages | Economic Output |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wholesalers |  | 1,250 | $\$ 110,756,900$ |

## Retailers

The third tier, retailing, is responsible for selling wine and cider products to consumers through on- and off-premise businesses such as restaurants, bars, and grocery stores and liquor stores. Only the portion of economic activity created by these businesses due to the sale of wine or cider is accounted for in the analysis. ${ }^{9}$ In Michigan, the wine industry creates 20,601 jobs in the on- and off-premise retail and

[^3]hospitality sectors. These jobs pay about $\$ 478.1$ million in wages and contribute $\$ 1.1$ billion in economic activity to the state.

Table 7
Economic Impact of Wine Retailers in Michigan

|  | Jobs | Wages | Economic Output |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retailers |  | 20,601 | $\$ 478,114,900$ | $\$ 1,083,168,100$ |

## Tourism

Wineries and vineyards are an attractive destination for Michigan and out-of-state visitors. These visitors not only create business for the wineries and vineyards they visit but they also spend millions on lodging, food, transportation, and other retail purchases. JDA estimates that about 514,130 people made over $1,692,660$ visits to Michigan's wineries and vineyard. In addition to spending in the wineries and vineyards on wine, food, events, etc., these people spent an estimated $\$ 252.7$ million in other partsof the Michigan economy. The economic activity created by these visitors generates about 4,042 jobs, paying about $\$ 88.6$ million in wages, and contributes $\$ 243.8$ million in economic activity to the state.

Table 8
Economic Impact of Wine Tourism in Michigan

|  | Jobs | Wages | Economic Output |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tourism | 4,042 | $\$ 88,624,400$ | $\$ 243,837,400$ |

## Ancillary Businesses

The promotion and protection of the wine and cider industry in Michigan is supported by trade associations. The Michigan Grape \& Wine Industry Council's impact is measured in this sector. These associations serve to encourage growth and development of wine and cider related industries through education and sound public policies. Michigan's wine and cider associations provide a critical service to the industry and help drive its growth. These associations create approximately 10 jobs, paying about $\$ 602,100$ in wages and generate $\$ 1.7$ million in economic activity.

Table 9 Economic Impact of Wine Associations in Michigan

|  | Jobs |  | Wages | Economic Output |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Associations |  | 10 | $\$ 602,100$ | $\$ 1,657,200$ |

The wine industry in Michigan is also supported by research and education organizations which are involved in applied research and educational programs to protect and improve wine and cider related industries. These organizations are responsible for spreading sound business practices as well as promoting new, innovative techniques to further the development of the Michigan wine industry. Approximately 58 people were employed in Michigan based research and education organizations, receiving about $\$ 5.5$ million in wages and generating $\$ 14.5$ million in economic activity.

Table 10
Economic Impact of Wine Research and Education in Michigan

|  | Jobs |  | Wages | Economic Output |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Research and <br> Education | 58 | $\$ 5,457,400$ | $\$ 14,468,700$ |  |

## Supplier firms

The supplier impact created by the wine industry includes goods and services from a multitude of different sectors. These purchases include varied goods such as farm equipment, supplies, tools, cash registers, and promotional materials. Services such as consulting, banking, legal, and marketers are also a part of the supplier impact. In the case of the wine industry, government jobs are created in government agencies responsible for the regulation of wine related businesses. An estimated 7,557 supplier jobs are created by the wine industry, paying $\$ 441.3$ million in wages and generating about $\$ 1.4$ billion in economic activity. ${ }^{10}$

Table 11
Supplier Impact of the Wine Industry in Michigan

|  | Jobs | Wages | Economic Output |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agriculture | 727 | $\$ 25,817,400$ | $\$ 52,202,700$ |
| Mining | 41 | $\$ 1,962,500$ | $\$ 10,594,100$ |
| Construction | 148 | $\$ 7,760,700$ | $\$ 25,055,100$ |
| Manufacturing | 810 | $\$ 63,454,100$ | $\$ 360,137,900$ |
| Wholesale | 370 | $\$ 7,727,700$ | $\$ 89,701,600$ |
| Retail | 281 | $\$ 62,827,600$ | $\$ 22,230,000$ |
| Transport \& Comm. | 867 | $\$ 44,401,400$ | $\$ 247,649,700$ |
| Finance, Insurance, | 1,024 |  | $\$ 235,045,500$ |
| R.E. |  | $\$ 173,869,200$ |  |
| Bus. \& Pers. Svcs | 2,656 | $\$ 9,377,500$ | $\$ 326,858,900$ |
| Travel \& Entertainment | 488 | $\$ 11,333,200$ | $\$ 27,552,300$ |
| Government | 145 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 21,925,200$ |
| Other | 0 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 4 1 , 2 5 9 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 1 8 , 9 5 3 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 , 5 5 7}$ |  |  |

## Induced Impacts

The induced impact is created by the expenditure of wages earned by employees in the direct and supplier sectors. These jobs are dependent on the wine industry in Michigan and would not exist if not for it. Businesses included in the induced impact include everything from restaurants and movie theaters to physicians' offices and universities. The induced impact of the wine industry created 11,812 jobs, paying $\$ 555.5$ million in wages and generating about $\$ 1.8$ billion in economic activity.

[^4]Table 12
Induced Impact of the Wine Industry in Michigan

|  | Jobs | Wages | Economic Output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture | 220 | \$9,935,100 | \$24,675,800 |
| Mining | 37 | \$1,546,700 | \$9,259,300 |
| Construction | 138 | \$7,237,900 | \$23,906,900 |
| Manufacturing | 565 | \$44,063,100 | \$332,862,700 |
| Wholesale | 329 | \$29,012,400 | \$80,161,700 |
| Retail | 1,493 | \$47,527,600 | \$116,524,600 |
| Transport \& Comm. | 608 | \$47,005,100 | \$214,292,600 |
| Finance, Insurance, R.E. | 1,388 | \$66,637,700 | \$439,355,000 |
| Bus. \& Pers. Svcs | 4,982 | \$255,413,600 | \$465,044,700 |
| Travel \& Entertainment | 1,755 | \$36,597,400 | \$110,515,000 |
| Government | 118 | \$7,662,800 | \$21,616,400 |
| Other | 179 | \$2,877,500 | \$8,159,600 |
| Total | 11,812 | \$555,516,900 | \$1,846,374,300 |

## Fiscal Impacts

An important part of an impact analysis is the calculation of the contribution of the industry to the public finances of the country. In the case of the wine industry, the business taxes paid by firms and their employees provide $\$ 395.9$ million to the federal government and $\$ 323.6$ million to state and local governments. In addition, the consumption of wine in Michigan generated an estimated $\$ 26.2$ million in federal tax revenues and $\$ 108.0$ million in state and local tax revenues. These consumption taxes include taxes such as excise taxes and sales taxes.

Table 13
Fiscal Impact of the Wine Industry in Michigan

|  | Federal | State and Local | Total Taxes |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Business Taxes | $\$ 395,879,400$ | $\$ 323,551,100$ | $\$ 719,430,500$ |
| Consumption Taxes | $\$ 26,188,900$ | $\$ 107,950,200$ | $\$ 134,139,100$ |
| Total Taxes | $\$ 422,068,300$ | $\$ 431,501,300$ | $\$ 853,569,600$ |

## Charitable Contributions

Charitable contributions are calculated as part of the economic impact model itself. The Bureau of Economic Analysis NIPA tables show spending per dollar of output for about 500 industry categories, including industries such as religious organizations, civic organizations, and social advocacy organizations. JDA estimated the charitable contributions of the industry by analyzing the impacts in individual and family services, community food, housing, and other relief services, including rehabilitation services, performing arts companies, museums, historical sites, zoos, and parks, religious organizations, grantmaking, giving, and social advocacy organizations, and labor and civic organizations.

Spending in each of these categories is aggregated together to estimate the charitable contributions attributed to the wine industry in Michigan. It is estimated that about $\$ 13.5$ million is contributed by employees and companies in the wine industry to charitable organizations.

## Wine Industry Census

JDA conducted an online census survey of Michigan wineries and cideries in order to collect and supplement information not available through other publicly available sources. The list of companies surveyed was compiled from government license data, Infogroup, ${ }^{11}$ and data provided by MGWIC. The survey (attached as Appendix F) was conducted electronically through SurveyGizmo and was sent out to a prospective 252 target wineries and cideries. The survey was conducted from July to September 2017. Follow up phone calls were made to target companies in order to obtain updated contact information, collect data over the phone, and to provide additional information regarding the purpose of the survey and its intended use. In total, 65 of these companies were either unable to be reached and were removed from the survey list. Of the 96 companies that responded, 71 were classified as wineries, 12 as cideries, and 13 as either a brewery or a distillery. The remaining 91 companies either did not respond or chose to opt out of the survey. Considering that the state has a total of 238 wineries and cideries, the survey has a confidence interval of $+/-6.2$ percent.

Respondents were asked to choose which facility type best describes their company. Seventy six percent of respondents classified their company as a winery and three percent as a virtual winery. A virtual winery is defined as a company that owns, promotes, and/or sells their own brand of wine but has a contract winery produce the wine. Eleven of the respondents ( 11.5 percent) classified their company as a cidery while seven percent chose other. Respondents were able to write in their own response if they selected "Other" and over half of these respondents described their business as a winery and a cidery while the remainder made beer, wine, cider, and spirits.

Figure 1
Company Classification


In order to learn about the different wine-related activities wineries and cideries engage in, respondents were asked to pick from the following: Maintain a custom crush facility, wine/hard cider-related tourism, wine/hard cider distribution, retail (on or off premise), on-site restaurant or none of the above. Seventy-

[^5]five responses indicated that their business conducts some retailing of their product. Fifty-nine responses indicated that they are involved in some wine/hard cider-related tourism, fifty-four indicated they perform some wine/hard cider distribution, twenty-one indicated they maintain a custom crush facility, seventeen responses indicated that their business has an on-site restaurant, and four responses performed none of the above.

Figure 2
Wine-related Activities


Respondents were asked if they imported any winegrapes or cider apples from outside of Michigan and if so, where from. About seventy-two percent of respondents stated that they do not import any wine grapes or cider apples while the remaining twenty-eight percent do. The top states where winegrapes are being imported from are California, followed by Oregon and Washington. Grape juice can also be imported in order to make wine in Michigan. The top three states where grape juice is imported from are Washington, California, and Oregon.

Figure 3
Out-of-State Importation of Winegrapes or Cider Apples


Winery and cidery operations in Michigan are spread out through the various regions. The largest concentration of respondents (49 percent) operate their businesses in the northwest region of Michigan. The southwest region has the second most respondents ( 31 percent), 12 percent of respondents operated in the southeast region, and both the northeast and upper peninsula regions had four percent of responses each.

Figure 4
Winery and Cidery Operations by Region


Employment data regarding the breakdown of full-time, part-time, and seasonal employees showed a fairly even split across the three types. About thirty-nine percent of all employees hired were seasonal employees, thirty-four were part-time, and about twenty-seven percent were full-time employees. It is
important to keep in mind that these are individual employees or people and not "full-time equivalent" employees. ${ }^{12}$

Figure 5
Employees by Type


Most respondents (63 percent) reported operating at least one tasting room under their license, sixteen percent operated two tasting rooms, eleven percent operated three tasting rooms, two percent operated four tasting rooms and four percent operate five tasting rooms. four percent operated no tasting rooms.

Figure 6
Number of Tasting Rooms Operated


[^6]Tourism is an important aspect of the Michigan wine industry. It is no surprise that an overwhelming ninety-four percent of respondents receive tourists at their place of business. Six percent did not currently receive any tourists.

Figure 7
Wineries Receiving Tourist Visits


Although a majority of respondents indicated they receive tourist visits at their facilities, eight percent claimed to have lodging accommodations such as a hotel or, bed and breakfast.

Figure 8
Lodging Accommodations Availability at Winery


About sixty-four percent of respondents answered that they serve some type of food at their winery or cidery and thirty-six percent do not.

Figure 9
Food Served at Winery


Respondents were asked if they had any infrastructure related winery or tourism related expenses such as parking fees and zoning permits. Sixty-one percent of respondents stated that they did not have any such expenses and thirty-nine percent stated that they did.

Figure 10
Infrastructure Related Expenses


Respondents were asked to rank their preferred method of marketing. There were fifty-nine responses ranking social media as their preferred method of for marketing. Print ads are ranked second and web ads come third.

Figure 11
Preferred Marketing Methods


Respondents were asked about their future plans of expanding production and their responses showed signs of growth and optimism in the future of the industry. Eighty-two percent of respondents planned on increasing wine/hard cider production next year. Eighteen percent did not plan to increase their production.

Figure 12
Plans to Increase Production Next Year


Although a majority of respondents are projected to increase production next year, thirty-five percent of respondents planned on increasing vineyard acreage next year. About sixty-five percent of respondents did not plan on expanding their vineyard acreage.

Figure 13
Plans to Increase Vineyard Acreage Next Year


Respondents were asked to estimate the percentage of sales that were wholesaled or self-distributed instate and out-of-state. It is estimated that about eighty-seven percent of wine and cider is sold or distributed in-state and about thirteen percent is distributed or sold out-of-state.

Figure 14
Sales by Market


## Michigan Cider Impact Model

## Cideries

The economic impact of cideries is based on government licensing data, Infogroup, and survey data from Michigan wineries and cideries. Cideries are defined as producing their own brands, cideries/production facilities contracted to produce cider for other companies. ${ }^{13}$

Based on these combined datasets, it is estimated that there are about thirty cideries in the state of Michigan. Employment data from the survey was used when available. If no survey data for the company was available, Infogroup employment figures are used for estimate the jobs in each facility. Median job figures were used where employment figures were not available. JDA estimates that there are about 357 jobs relating to the production or marketing of cider in Michigan. These workers earn an estimated $\$ 16.2$ million and generate an estimated $\$ 94.1$ million in economic activity for the state's economy.

## Limitations of Data

The economic impact of cider is limited by the lack of cider specific data. Cider businesses in Michigan are licensed under the same classification as wineries. At the federal level, the U.S. Department of Treasury's Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau also regulates cider as wines. This makes it difficult to separate the economic contribution of wine products from cider products. Wholesale and retail impacts from the distribution and sale of cider in Michigan are not able to be distinguished from those of the sale of wine due to this limitation. JDA was able to use state and federal license data which was supplemented with survey data in order to identify cideries in Michigan and estimate the economic impact generated by these firms. As such the retail and wholesale impact of cider sold outside of the production facility or tasting room is contained in the wine figures.

There is also a lack of apple orchard data regarding usage for the production of cider. Apple orchard data on utilization is limited, therefore it is difficult to distinguish the quantity and value of the apples being used to produce cider apart from apples being used for other purposes. As the cider industry is a fastgrowing industry in both Michigan and the United States, it is important that such data be available in order to more accurately measure its economic contribution and importance to the economy.

[^7]
## Study Methodology

## Vineyards

The vineyards that supply winegrapes to the wine industry are vital to the Michigan economy. Due to limited data, individual facility data for each vineyard in Michigan was not available to use in the economic impact study. Data used to estimate the economic impact of vineyards came from the United States Department of Agriculture and the Michigan Department of Agriculture and Rural Development. Data from the Michigan Grape Inventory 2016-2017 from MDARD was used to estimate the statewide vineyard acreage. Data from the Noncitrus Fruits and Nuts 2016 Summary ${ }^{14}$ were used to estimate quantity and value of winegrapes grown in Michigan, while the 2012 Census of Agriculture was used to help estimate the acreage by counties in Michigan. ${ }^{15}$

Vineyards that were part of a winery operation may be double counted since there is no data available to separate independent vineyards from vineyards which are owned and operated by a winery.

JDA estimates that there are about 3,050 acres of farmland in Michigan being used to grow an estimated 7,100 tons of winegrapes worth about $\$ 9.5$ million. In order to tend to these vineyards, an estimated 171 full-time equivalent jobs are needed. It is important to note that full-time equivalent jobs are not the same as number of people. FTE jobs are estimated using the value of winegrapes grown and IMPLAN.

Many of the jobs on farms are different than other occupations in that they are not the normal eight hours a day, 40 hours a week kind of activity. Even many owners of small farms operations only work part-time on agricultural activities, and a great majority of labor intensive vineyard activities (harvesting, pruning, shoot thinning and suckering) are performed by teams of seasonal workers who move from vineyard to vineyard and between agricultural sectors. These workers often only work for a few days or weeks on any given farm.

Due to the seasonal nature of vineyard work, one full-time equivalent farm job is equal to the work performed by 4.2 actual farm workers, with an average farm worker preforming about 450 hours of labor in the industry (compared with about 1900 hours for a full-time worker in a non-agricultural occupation). ${ }^{16}$

## Wineries

The economic impact of wineries is based on government licensing data, Infogroup, industry sources, and survey data from Michigan wineries and cideries. ${ }^{17}$ Wineries include those that produce their own wines, wineries/production facilities contracted to produce wines for other companies, and companies marketing their own wine brand, but not producing the wine itself (so called virtual wineries). ${ }^{18}$

[^8]Based on these combined datasets, it is estimated that there are about 208 active wineries in the State of Michigan. Employment data from the survey was used when available. If no survey data for the company was available, Infogroup employment figures are used for estimate the jobs in each facility. Median job figures were used where employment figures were not available. JDA estimates that there are about 1,798 jobs relating to the production or marketing of wine in Michigan. These workers earn an estimated $\$ 84.4$ million and generate an estimated $\$ 479.1$ million in economic activity for the state's economy.

## Wholesale

The wholesaling tier is responsible for the transportation of Michigan wine from wineries, importation of wines from other states or countries, and for the storage of these products for a limited amount of time. Data to identify these facilities include Alcohol Wholesaler Permit Lists from the US Department of the Treasury Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB), Dun and Bradstreet (D\&B) and the Wine and Spirits Wholesalers of America (WSWA). JDA estimates that there are about 122 facilities in Michigan that are responsible for the wholesaling of wine. D\&B employment data is used to estimate the number of jobs in each facility that was associated with the distribution of wine. Where employment data is unavailable, median employment figures are used. JDA estimates that there are about 1,250 jobs in the wine wholesaling sector, earning about $\$ 110.8$ million in wages and benefits, and contributing about $\$ 307.0$ million in economic activity to the U.S. economy.

## Retail

The retailing tier is comprised of both on-premise retailing and off-premise retailing. On-premise retailers allow for the consumption of wine at the facility. Examples of on-premise retailers include businesses such as restaurants, bars, and sporting venues. Off-premise retailers sell wine to take away and consume elsewhere. Examples of these types of retailers include businesses such as grocery stores, liquor stores, and warehouse clubs. Retail sales that are occurring at winery owned facilities such as tasting rooms or restaurants within the winery's premises are not included in this impact. These impacts are captured in the winery sector.

Employment data were gathered at the zip code level from Infogroup, The Economic Census of Retail Trade by Product Line ${ }^{19}$ and U.S. Department of Commerce - Bureau of Economic Analysis - Personal Consumption Expenditures by Type of Product ${ }^{20}$ is used determine the type of off-premise stores that sell wine as well as the percent of sales at each store type that is due to the sale of wine. IMPLAN Use data and U.S. Department of Commerce - Bureau of Economic Analysis - Personal Consumption Expenditures by Type of Product is used to determine the type of on-premise stores that sell wine as well as the percent of sales at each store type that is due to the sale of wine. JDA estimates that there about 20,601 people employed in Michigan that are responsible for selling wine in retail businesses. These workers earn about $\$ 478.1$ million in wages and benefits while contributing $\$ 1.1$ billion in economic activity to Michigan's economy.

## Associations

The wine associations sector is defined as trade associations which participate in the promotion of wine related industries such as wineries, winegrape vineyards, importers, and even suppliers. These

[^9]associations serve to encourage growth and development of wine related industries through education and sound public policies

Data for wine associations were provided by WineAmerica, Wines\&Vines ${ }^{21}$, and Infogroup. Employment data for each facility is gathered from the Infogroup database. Any employment data that is missing is estimated using median job figures. JDA estimates that wine related trade associations employ about 10 people in Michigan. These workers earn approximately $\$ 602,100$ in wages and benefits while contributing an estimated $\$ 1.7$ million in economic activity to the state's economy.

## Research and Education

The research and education sector is defined as organizations which are involved in applied research and educational programs that facilitate the development and advancement of knowledge that enable wineries, winegrape growers, and other wine-related industries to improve and protect the quality of their goods and services.

Data for wine research and educational organizations were provided by WineAmerica, Wines\&Vines ${ }^{22}$, and Infogroup. Employment data for each facility is gathered from the Infogroup database. Any employment data that is missing is estimated using median job figures. JDA estimates that research and education specialists employ about 58 people in the U.S. These workers earn approximately $\$ 5.5$ million in wages and benefits while contributing an estimated $\$ 14.5$ million in economic activity to the U.S. economy.

## Wine Tourism

One of the important elements of the impact of wineries on the Michigan economy is their attractiveness to tourists. Every year, hundreds of thousands of people visit wine growing regions across the state in part to visit (or even stay at) wineries, learn about wine and sample different wines. In order to estimate the economic impact of these visits it was first necessary to calculate the number of visitors to the state's 208 wineries. This was done at the county level based on an econometric model that used detailed data calculated by key wine producing counties as a means of estimating visitors per winery. A function was developed that estimated the number of visits per winery based on the number of wineries in each of the 1,407 counties in the United States that produce wine. This relies on the idea of economic clustering, which suggests that a larger grouping of wineries would attract more visitors to each winery than a smaller grouping. The tendency of locational clustering of similar types of firms has been documented by economists since at least the beginning of the twentieth century. British academic Stephen Brown described the rule of 'retail compatibility,' which explains how retail businesses, such as restaurants, know that two compatible firms in close proximity will show an increase in business volume directly proportionate to the incidence of consumer interchange between them. ${ }^{23}$ This concept was confirmed by a study by Andrei Rogers who found that the clustered spatial pattern exhibited by consumer goods retailers appears to contradict a common hypothesis that these stores tend to repel one another. ${ }^{24}$

[^10]While Rogers suggests that population densities have a lot to do with the clustering, there is significant economic theory that suggests that the tendency of activities to cluster is related more to competitive characteristics than to generalized demographic characteristics. ${ }^{25}$

Using this model JDA calculates that a winery existing alone in a county would receive just under 2,050 visitors in a year, and that the number of annual tourist visitors would rise linearly at a rate of about 3.5 additional visits per year for each additional winery in the county. ${ }^{26}$ As such, a county with 100 wineries would see just fewer than 240,000 visits, while one with 1,000 wineries would report nearly 5.7 million.

Multiplying out the number of visits across the 36 counties in Michigan with wineries gives a total of over 514,130 million unique tourist visits. These are people specifically visiting the Michigan wine producing counties with wineries. Based on this model and survey data results from 96 different Michigan wineries, it is estimated that a total of $1,178,570$ additional unique visits to Michigan wineries occur each year. The bulk of these are local visitors attending an event, having dinner or just stopping by to purchase a bottle of wine. This means that all told, there were an estimated $1,692,667$ unique visits to wineries in Michigan. No state specific data are available to estimate the number of wineries each individual visitor goes to on a trip, however, an extensive survey of wineries in Napa California, suggests each person visits on average 3.29 wineries, so dividing visits by 3.29 gives an estimate of just over 514,132 actual wine related visitors going to wineries across the state. ${ }^{27}$

Once the number of visitors was calculated, spending propensities using data as broken into 25 industries based on percentages derived from the US Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis. ${ }^{28}$ These were in turn, combined into aggregate categories for processing with the IMPLAN model. As such, rather than basing the direct tourism impact on jobs (as with the rest of the study), it is based on estimated visitor spending on key tourism categories.

[^11]
## IMPLAN

The IMPLAN Group model is designed to run based on the input of specific direct economic factors. It uses a detailed methodology (see IMPLAN Methodology section) to generate estimates of the other direct impacts, tax impacts and indirect and induced impacts based on these entries.

Once the initial direct employment figures have been established, they are entered into a model linked to the IMPLAN database. The IMPLAN data are used to generate estimates of direct wages and output. Wages are derived from data from the U.S. Department of Labor's ES-202 reports that are used by IMPLAN to provide annual average wage and salary establishment counts, employment counts, and payrolls at the county level. Since this data only covers payroll employees (those eligible for unemployment insurance), they are modified to add information on those who are not, such as: independent workers, agricultural employees, and construction workers. Data are then adjusted to account for counties where non-disclosure rules apply. Wage data include not only cash wages, but health and life insurance payments, retirement payments, and other non-cash compensation as well. They include all income paid to workers by employers.

Total output is the value of production by industry in a given state. It is estimated by IMPLAN from sources similar to those used by the BEA in its RIMS II series. Where no Census or government surveys are available, IMPLAN uses models such as the Bureau of Labor Statistics' growth model to estimate the missing output.

The model also includes information on income received by the federal, state, and local governments, and produces estimates for the following taxes at the federal level: corporate income, payroll, personal income, estate and gift, excise taxes, customs duties, and fines, fees, etc. State and local tax revenues include estimates of corporate profits, property, sales, severance, estate and gift and personal income taxes as well as licenses, fees, and certain payroll taxes.

State sales and excise taxes were calculated based on total wine sales volume data from 2016 (the latest available) and state and federal excise and sales tax rates as of the beginning of 2017.

## IMPLAN Methodology ${ }^{29}$

Input-output analysis, for which Wassily Leontief received the 1973 Nobel Prize in Economics for, is an econometric technique used to examine the relationships within an economy. It captures all monetary market transactions for consumption in a given period and for a specific geography. The IMPLAN model uses data from many different sources - as published government data series, unpublished data, sets of relationships, ratios, or as estimates. The Minnesota IMPLAN group gathers this data, converts them into a consistent format, and estimates the missing components.

There are three different levels of data generally available in the United States: federal, state, and county. Most of the detailed data are available at the county level, but there are many issues with disclosure, especially in the case of smaller industries. IMPLAN overcomes these disclosure problems by combining a large number of datasets and estimating variables that are not found in the merged data. The data are then converted into national input-output matrices (Use, Make, By-products, Absorption, and Market Shares) as well as national tables for deflators, regional purchase coefficients, and margins.

[^12]The IMPLAN Make matrix represents the production of commodities by industry. The Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) Benchmark I/O Study of the US Make Table forms the bases of the IMPLAN model. The Benchmark Make Table is updated to current year prices, and rearranged into the IMPLAN sector format. The IMPLAN Use matrix is based on estimates of final demand, value-added by sector, and total industry and commodity output data as provided by government statistics or estimated by IMPLAN. The BEA Benchmark Use table is then bridged to the IMPLAN sectors. Once the re-sectoring is complete, the Use tables can be updated based on the other data and model calculations of interstate and international trade.

In the IMPLAN model, as with any input-output framework, all expenditures are in terms of producer prices. This allocates all expenditures to the industries that produce goods and services. As a result, all data not received in producer prices are converted using margins derived from the BEA Input-Output model. Margins represent the difference between producer and consumer prices. As such, the margins for any good add up to one.
Deflators, which account for relative price changes during different time periods, are derived from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Growth Model. The 224 sector BLS model is mapped to the 536 sectors of the IMPLAN model. Where data are missing, deflators from BEA's Survey of Current Businesses are used.

Finally, the Regional Purchase Coefficients (RPCs) - essential to the IMPLAN model - must be derived. IMPLAN is derived from a national model, which represents the "average" condition for a particular industry. Since national production functions do not necessarily represent particular regional differences, adjustments need to be made. Regional trade flows are estimated based on the Multi-Regional InputOutput Accounts, a cross-sectional database with consistent cross interstate trade flows developed in 1977. These data are updated and bridged to the 536 sector IMPLAN model.

Once the databases and matrices are created, they go through an extensive validation process. IMPLAN builds separate state and county models and evaluates them, checking to ensure that no ratios are outside of recognized bounds. The final datasets and matrices are not released until extensive testing takes place.

The Michigan Grape and Wine Industry Council is a 12 -member Council established by the Legislature to promote Michigan's wine and wine grape-growing industries. New wineries are starting up in Michigan every year, wine grape acreage continues to grow, sales of Michigan wines are increasing, and the reputation of Michigan as a world-class wine region is gaining more national and international attention. In 2017, the Michigan Grape \& Wine Industry Council recognizes 132 producers of Michigan wine.

## Mission Statement

The Michigan Grape and Wine Industry Council has been established within the Michigan Department of Agriculture \& Rural Development to provide for research, education and the promotion of the Michigan wine grape and wine industry, to stimulate economic development through value-added, sustainable agriculture.
(January 2013)

## Appendix A - Economic Impact Rankings by Congressional District

| District | Winery Jobs | Winery Wages | Winery Output | Rank |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Michigan Congressional District 1 | 581 | $\$ 27,415,400$ | $\$ 155,183,900$ | 1 |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 6 | 334 | $\$ 15,780,300$ | $\$ 89,303,600$ | 2 |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 7 | 214 | $\$ 10,053,900$ | $\$ 57,136,400$ | 3 |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 2 | 151 | $\$ 6,982,600$ | $\$ 40,074,700$ | 4 |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 10 | 117 | $\$ 5,482,000$ | $\$ 31,133,500$ | 5 |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 4 | 96 | $\$ 4,504,900$ | $\$ 25,560,300$ | 6 |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 11 | 86 | $\$ 3,969,700$ | $\$ 22,882,900$ | 7 |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 3 | 69 | $\$ 3,186,100$ | $\$ 18,255,600$ | 8 |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 5 | 42 | $\$ 1,987,100$ | $\$ 11,297,600$ | 9 |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 12 | 39 | $\$ 1,862,100$ | $\$ 10,513,900$ | 10 |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 8 | 32 | $\$ 1,508,100$ | $\$ 8,569,400$ | 11 |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 9 | 25 | $\$ 1,189,500$ | $\$ 6,716,000$ | 12 |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 13 | 9 | $\$ 429,900$ | $\$ 2,431,000$ | 13 |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 14 | 0 |  | $\$ 0$ |  | $\$ 0$ |


| District | Vineyard Jobs | Vineyard Wages | Vineyard <br> Output | Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Michigan Congressional District 6 | 144 | $\$ 3,972,500$ | $\$ 6,547,100$ | 1 |
| Michigan Congressional District 1 | 24 | $\$ 653,300$ | $\$ 1,076,600$ | 2 |
| Michigan Congressional District 8 | 2 | $\$ 46,900$ | $\$ 77,300$ | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 10 | 0 | $\$ 8,600$ | $\$ 14,200$ | 4 |
| Michigan Congressional District 4 | 0 | $\$ 8,100$ | $\$ 13,300$ | 5 |
| Michigan Congressional District 2 | 0 | $\$ 7,000$ | $\$ 11,500$ | 6 |
| Michigan Congressional District 7 | 0 | $\$ 6,400$ | $\$ 10,500$ | 7 |
| Michigan Congressional District 5 | 0 | $\$ 4,800$ | $\$ 8,000$ | 8 |
| Michigan Congressional District 3 | 0 | $\$ 3,400$ | $\$ 5,600$ | 9 |
| Michigan Congressional District 11 | 0 | $\$ 800$ | $\$ 1,300$ | 10 |
| Michigan Congressional District 12 | 0 | $\$ 700$ | $\$ 1,100$ | 11 |
| Michigan Congressional District 9 | 0 | $\$ 300$ | $\$ 600$ | 12 |
| Michigan Congressional District 14 | 0 | $\$ 300$ | $\$ 500$ | 13 |
| Michigan Congressional District 13 | 0 | $\$ 200$ | $\$ 400$ | 14 |


| District | Wholesale Jobs | Wholesale Wages | Wholesale Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan Congressional District 5 | 157 | \$13,869,400 | \$38,445,100 | 1 |
| Michigan Congressional District 3 | 144 | \$12,759,900 | \$35,369,500 | 2 |
| Michigan Congressional District 12 | 136 | \$12,064,100 | \$33,440,800 | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 13 | 131 | \$11,650,300 | \$32,293,900 | 4 |
| Michigan Congressional District 6 | 125 | \$11,072,400 | \$30,692,000 | 5 |
| Michigan Congressional District 1 | 119 | \$10,536,100 | \$29,205,500 | 6 |
| Michigan Congressional District 9 | 116 | \$10,268,000 | \$28,462,200 | 7 |
| Michigan Congressional District 2 | 112 | \$9,879,700 | \$27,385,700 | 8 |
| Michigan Congressional District 11 | 80 | \$7,073,400 | \$19,607,000 | 9 |
| Michigan Congressional District 14 | 69 | \$6,084,100 | \$16,864,600 | 10 |
| Michigan Congressional District 8 | 53 | \$4,715,600 | \$13,071,300 | 11 |
| Michigan Congressional District 7 | 9 | \$783,900 | \$2,173,000 | 12 |
| Michigan Congressional District 4 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 13 |
| Michigan Congressional District 10 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 13 |


| District | Retail Jobs | Retail Wages | Retail Output | Rank |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Michigan Congressional District 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 1 | 2,300 | $\$ 52,551,400$ | $\$ 118,110,700$ | 1 |
| Michigan Congressional District 3 | 2,144 | $\$ 50,146,200$ | $\$ 116,537,300$ | 2 |
| Michigan Congressional District 7 | 1,844 | $\$ 42,955,000$ | $\$ 96,543,900$ | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 6 | 1,824 | $\$ 42,437,000$ | $\$ 95,637,600$ | 4 |
| Michigan Congressional District 10 | 1,667 | $\$ 39,447,800$ | $\$ 92,586,000$ | 5 |
| Michigan Congressional District 11 | 1,759 | $\$ 40,657,900$ | $\$ 89,550,400$ | 6 |
| Michigan Congressional District 4 | 1,595 | $\$ 36,394,200$ | $\$ 81,713,800$ | 7 |
| Michigan Congressional District 12 | 1,425 | $\$ 33,180,800$ | $\$ 78,387,000$ | 8 |
| Michigan Congressional District 2 | 1,428 | $\$ 33,141,700$ | $\$ 74,373,200$ | 9 |
| Michigan Congressional District 5 | 1,180 | $\$ 27,632,200$ | $\$ 62,710,000$ | 10 |
| Michigan Congressional District 13 | 1,184 | $\$ 27,590,300$ | $\$ 60,871,100$ | 11 |
| Michigan Congressional District 14 | 905 | $\$ 21,145,300$ | $\$ 47,362,600$ | 12 |
| Michigan Congressional District 14 | 735 | $\$ 16,879,900$ | $\$ 37,875,800$ | 13 |


| District | Association Jobs | Association <br> Wages | Association <br> Output | Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Michigan Congressional District 6 | 5 | $\$ 301,100$ | $\$ 828,600$ |  |
| Michigan Congressional District 8 | 5 | $\$ 301,100$ | $\$ 828,600$ | 1 |
| Michigan Congressional District 1 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 1 |
| Michigan Congressional District 2 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 3 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 4 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 5 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 7 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 9 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 10 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 11 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 12 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 13 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 14 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 3 |


| District | Research and <br> Education Jobs | Research and <br> Education <br> Wages | Research and <br> Education <br> Output | Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| District | Tourism Jobs | Tourism Wages | Tourism Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan Congressional District 1 | 1,216 | \$25,679,000 | \$70,505,100 | 1 |
| Michigan Congressional District 6 | 721 | \$15,117,800 | \$41,268,400 | 2 |
| Michigan Congressional District 7 | 427 | \$9,072,200 | \$24,764,200 | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 10 | 266 | \$5,764,400 | \$15,723,600 | 4 |
| Michigan Congressional District 4 | 241 | \$5,267,500 | \$14,448,900 | 5 |
| Michigan Congressional District 2 | 218 | \$4,788,400 | \$13,074,900 | 6 |
| Michigan Congressional District 11 | 159 | \$3,953,600 | \$11,001,500 | 7 |
| Michigan Congressional District 5 | 148 | \$3,559,400 | \$9,965,900 | 8 |
| Michigan Congressional District 12 | 148 | \$3,455,000 | \$9,605,300 | 9 |
| Michigan Congressional District 3 | 156 | \$3,496,400 | \$9,555,200 | 10 |
| Michigan Congressional District 9 | 115 | \$2,623,800 | \$7,152,000 | 11 |
| Michigan Congressional District 13 | 73 | \$2,279,700 | \$7,024,800 | 12 |
| Michigan Congressional District 8 | 108 | \$2,454,900 | \$6,702,100 | 13 |
| Michigan Congressional District 14 | 46 | \$1,112,400 | \$3,045,900 | 14 |


| District | Total Direct <br> Jobs | Total Direct <br> Wages | Total Direct <br> Output | Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Michigan Congressional District 1 | 4,084 | $\$ 114,430,000$ | $\$ 372,508,400$ | 1 |
| Michigan Congressional District 6 | 3,002 | $\$ 86,256,500$ | $\$ 262,722,500$ | 2 |
| Michigan Congressional District 7 | 2,474 | $\$ 62,353,400$ | $\$ 179,721,700$ | 3 |
| Michigan Congressional District 8 | 2,552 | $\$ 66,470,900$ | $\$ 160,331,300$ | 4 |
| Michigan Congressional District 3 | 2,213 | $\$ 62,400,800$ | $\$ 159,729,800$ | 5 |
| Michigan Congressional District 2 | 1,661 | $\$ 49,289,900$ | $\$ 143,256,800$ | 6 |
| Michigan Congressional District 10 | 2,142 | $\$ 51,912,900$ | $\$ 136,421,700$ | 7 |
| Michigan Congressional District 11 | 1,920 | $\$ 51,391,700$ | $\$ 135,206,500$ | 8 |
| Michigan Congressional District 12 | 1,751 | $\$ 50,523,600$ | $\$ 127,934,300$ | 9 |
| Michigan Congressional District 5 | 1,531 | $\$ 47,011,000$ | $\$ 120,587,700$ | 10 |
| Michigan Congressional District 4 | 1,762 | $\$ 42,961,300$ | $\$ 118,409,500$ | 11 |
| Michigan Congressional District 13 | 1,118 | $\$ 35,505,400$ | $\$ 89,112,700$ | 12 |
| Michigan Congressional District 9 | 866 | $\$ 28,036,800$ | $\$ 73,239,200$ | 13 |
| Michigan Congressional District 14 | 850 | $\$ 24,076,700$ | $\$ 57,786,800$ | 14 |

Appendix B - Economic Impact Rankings by State House of Representatives District

| District | Winery Jobs | Winery Wages | Winery Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 101 | 261 | \$12,358,000 | \$69,775,900 | 1 |
| Michigan House District 78 | 170 | \$8,061,500 | \$45,516,800 | 2 |
| Michigan House District 104 | 168 | \$7,936,600 | \$44,811,600 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 66 | 54 | \$2,572,400 | \$14,524,000 | 4 |
| Michigan House District 94 | 54 | \$2,546,900 | \$14,380,100 | 5 |
| Michigan House District 71 | 53 | \$2,508,300 | \$14,162,500 | 6 |
| Michigan House District 56 | 49 | \$2,316,000 | \$13,076,600 | 7 |
| Michigan House District 107 | 47 | \$2,224,700 | \$12,561,200 | 8 |
| Michigan House District 65 | 34 | \$1,615,300 | \$9,120,100 | 9 |
| Michigan House District 55 | 31 | \$1,457,900 | \$8,231,800 | 10 |
| Michigan House District 79 | 30 | \$1,440,900 | \$8,135,600 | 11 |
| Michigan House District 80 | 30 | \$1,419,800 | \$8,016,500 | 12 |
| Michigan House District 81 | 29 | \$1,350,700 | \$7,626,500 | 13 |
| Michigan House District 51 | 28 | \$1,345,000 | \$7,593,900 | 14 |
| Michigan House District 90 | 24 | \$1,146,000 | \$6,470,500 | 15 |
| Michigan House District 105 | 20 | \$946,500 | \$5,344,300 | 16 |
| Michigan House District 83 | 17 | \$798,800 | \$4,510,100 | 17 |
| Michigan House District 100 | 17 | \$798,600 | \$4,508,900 | 18 |
| Michigan House District 27 | 17 | \$785,300 | \$4,433,900 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 57 | 16 | \$767,200 | \$4,331,900 | 20 |
| Michigan House District 73 | 16 | \$735,500 | \$4,152,700 | 21 |
| Michigan House District 38 | 14 | \$684,900 | \$3,866,800 | 22 |
| Michigan House District 106 | 13 | \$615,300 | \$3,473,800 | 23 |
| Michigan House District 109 | 13 | \$601,400 | \$3,395,500 | 24 |
| Michigan House District 84 | 12 | \$578,400 | \$3,265,800 | 25 |
| Michigan House District 74 | 11 | \$532,700 | \$3,008,000 | 26 |
| Michigan House District 52 | 11 | \$514,800 | \$2,906,800 | 27 |
| Michigan House District 108 | 11 | \$514,800 | \$2,906,800 | 27 |
| Michigan House District 59 | 10 | \$473,300 | \$2,672,200 | 29 |
| Michigan House District 20 | 9 | \$425,900 | \$2,405,000 | 30 |
| Michigan House District 24 | 9 | \$404,200 | \$2,282,100 | 31 |
| Michigan House District 98 | 9 | \$404,200 | \$2,282,100 | 31 |
| Michigan House District 61 | 8 | \$389,000 | \$2,196,500 | 33 |
| Michigan House District 36 | 8 | \$378,600 | \$2,137,700 | 34 |
| Michigan House District 91 | 8 | \$378,600 | \$2,137,700 | 34 |
| Michigan House District 110 | 8 | \$356,900 | \$2,014,900 | 36 |
| Michigan House District 97 | 7 | \$347,100 | \$1,959,600 | 37 |
| Michigan House District 69 | 7 | \$339,200 | \$1,915,100 | 38 |
| Michigan House District 32 | 7 | \$331,300 | \$1,870,500 | 39 |
| Michigan House District 47 | 6 | \$284,000 | \$1,603,300 | 40 |


| Michigan House District 72 | 6 | \$284,000 | \$1,603,300 | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 103 | 5 | \$236,600 | \$1,336,100 | 42 |
| Michigan House District 9 | 5 | \$214,900 | \$1,213,300 | 43 |
| Michigan House District 26 | 5 | \$214,900 | \$1,213,300 | 43 |
| Michigan House District 30 | 5 | \$214,900 | \$1,213,300 | 43 |
| Michigan House District 53 | 5 | \$214,900 | \$1,213,300 | 43 |
| Michigan House District 92 | 5 | \$214,900 | \$1,213,300 | 43 |
| Michigan House District 11 | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 48 |
| Michigan House District 23 | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 48 |
| Michigan House District 33 | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 48 |
| Michigan House District 45 | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 48 |
| Michigan House District 46 | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 48 |
| Michigan House District 49 | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 48 |
| Michigan House District 58 | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 48 |
| Michigan House District 60 | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 48 |
| Michigan House District 82 | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 48 |
| Michigan House District 86 | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 48 |
| Michigan House District 102 | 4 | \$174,600 | \$985,900 | 58 |
| Michigan House District 29 | 3 | \$142,000 | \$801,700 | 59 |
| Michigan House District 87 | 3 | \$142,000 | \$801,700 | 59 |
| Michigan House District 93 | 3 | \$142,000 | \$801,700 | 59 |
| Michigan House District 99 | 2 | \$118,300 | \$668,000 | 62 |
| Michigan House District 22 | 2 | \$94,700 | \$534,400 | 63 |
| Michigan House District 31 | 2 | \$94,700 | \$534,400 | 63 |
| Michigan House District 63 | 1 | \$47,300 | \$267,200 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 75 | 1 | \$47,300 | \$267,200 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 4 | 0 | \$4,100 | \$23,300 | 67 |
| Michigan House District 1 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 2 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 3 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 5 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 6 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 7 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 8 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 10 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 12 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 13 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 14 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 15 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 16 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 17 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 18 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 19 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 21 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 25 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |


| Michigan House District 28 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 34 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 35 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 37 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 39 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 40 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 41 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 42 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 43 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 44 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 48 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 50 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 54 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 62 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 64 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 67 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 68 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 70 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 76 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 77 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 85 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 88 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 89 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 95 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 96 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 68 |


| District | Vineyard Jobs | Vineyard Wages | Vineyard Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 78 | 58 | \$1,595,400 | \$2,629,400 | 1 |
| Michigan House District 66 | 49 | \$1,349,500 | \$2,224,100 | 2 |
| Michigan House District 79 | 29 | \$783,900 | \$1,291,900 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 104 | 11 | \$309,400 | \$509,900 | 4 |
| Michigan House District 101 | 11 | \$294,300 | \$485,000 | 5 |
| Michigan House District 59 | 8 | \$207,400 | \$341,800 | 6 |
| Michigan House District 67 | 1 | \$34,700 | \$57,200 | 7 |
| Michigan House District 80 | 1 | \$30,100 | \$49,600 | 8 |
| Michigan House District 107 | 1 | \$22,400 | \$37,000 | 9 |
| Michigan House District 105 | 1 | \$14,900 | \$24,600 | 10 |
| Michigan House District 106 | 0 | \$9,900 | \$16,300 | 11 |
| Michigan House District 69 | 0 | \$8,800 | \$14,600 | 12 |
| Michigan House District 103 | 0 | \$4,700 | \$7,700 | 13 |
| Michigan House District 72 | 0 | \$4,400 | \$7,300 | 14 |
| Michigan House District 100 | 0 | \$3,900 | \$6,500 | 15 |
| Michigan House District 110 | 0 | \$3,800 | \$6,300 | 16 |
| Michigan House District 63 | 0 | \$2,700 | \$4,400 | 17 |
| Michigan House District 82 | 0 | \$2,600 | \$4,300 | 18 |
| Michigan House District 68 | 0 | \$2,600 | \$4,200 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 84 | 0 | \$2,500 | \$4,000 | 20 |
| Michigan House District 56 | 0 | \$1,600 | \$2,700 | 21 |
| Michigan House District 91 | 0 | \$1,400 | \$2,300 | 22 |
| Michigan House District 65 | 0 | \$1,300 | \$2,200 | 23 |
| Michigan House District 52 | 0 | \$1,300 | \$2,100 | 24 |
| Michigan House District 83 | 0 | \$1,300 | \$2,100 | 24 |
| Michigan House District 85 | 0 | \$1,300 | \$2,100 | 24 |
| Michigan House District 81 | 0 | \$1,100 | \$1,800 | 27 |
| Michigan House District 62 | 0 | \$1,000 | \$1,700 | 28 |
| Michigan House District 108 | 0 | \$1,000 | \$1,700 | 28 |
| Michigan House District 17 | 0 | \$900 | \$1,500 | 30 |
| Michigan House District 64 | 0 | \$900 | \$1,400 | 31 |
| Michigan House District 61 | 0 | \$800 | \$1,300 | 32 |
| Michigan House District 94 | 0 | \$700 | \$1,200 | 33 |
| Michigan House District 102 | 0 | \$700 | \$1,200 | 33 |
| Michigan House District 32 | 0 | \$700 | \$1,100 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 51 | 0 | \$700 | \$1,100 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 87 | 0 | \$700 | \$1,100 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 48 | 0 | \$600 | \$900 | 38 |
| Michigan House District 92 | 0 | \$400 | \$700 | 39 |
| Michigan House District 46 | 0 | \$300 | \$600 | 40 |


| Michigan House District 89 | 0 | \$400 | \$600 | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 95 | 0 | \$400 | \$600 | 40 |
| Michigan House District 33 | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 43 |
| Michigan House District 44 | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 43 |
| Michigan House District 55 | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 43 |
| Michigan House District 57 | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 43 |
| Michigan House District 88 | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 43 |
| Michigan House District 93 | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 43 |
| Michigan House District 98 | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 43 |
| Michigan House District 99 | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 43 |
| Michigan House District 50 | 0 | \$200 | \$400 | 51 |
| Michigan House District 86 | 0 | \$200 | \$400 | 51 |
| Michigan House District 96 | 0 | \$300 | \$400 | 51 |
| Michigan House District 36 | 0 | \$200 | \$300 | 54 |
| Michigan House District 49 | 0 | \$200 | \$300 | 54 |
| Michigan House District 54 | 0 | \$200 | \$300 | 54 |
| Michigan House District 90 | 0 | \$200 | \$300 | 54 |
| Michigan House District 97 | 0 | \$200 | \$300 | 54 |
| Michigan House District 23 | 0 | \$100 | \$200 | 59 |
| Michigan House District 38 | 0 | \$100 | \$200 | 59 |
| Michigan House District 39 | 0 | \$100 | \$200 | 59 |
| Michigan House District 40 | 0 | \$100 | \$200 | 59 |
| Michigan House District 43 | 0 | \$100 | \$200 | 59 |
| Michigan House District 60 | 0 | \$100 | \$200 | 59 |
| Michigan House District 12 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 18 | 0 | \$0 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 19 | 0 | \$0 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 20 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 21 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 22 | 0 | \$0 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 24 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 25 | 0 | \$0 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 26 | 0 | \$0 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 27 | 0 | \$0 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 28 | 0 | \$0 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 29 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 30 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 31 | 0 | \$0 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 34 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 35 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 37 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 41 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 45 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 53 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 1 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |


| Michigan House District 2 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 3 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 4 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 5 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 6 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 7 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 8 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 9 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 10 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 11 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 13 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 14 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 15 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 16 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 42 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 47 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 58 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 70 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 71 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 73 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 74 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 75 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 76 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 77 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |
| Michigan House District 109 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 85 |


| District | Wholesale Jobs | Wholesale Wages | Wholesale Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 72 | 196 | \$17,336,800 | \$48,056,400 | 1 |
| Michigan House District 96 | 157 | \$13,869,400 | \$38,445,100 | 2 |
| Michigan House District 7 | 131 | \$11,650,300 | \$32,293,900 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 60 | 115 | \$10,194,000 | \$28,257,200 | 4 |
| Michigan House District 23 | 89 | \$7,859,300 | \$21,785,600 | 5 |
| Michigan House District 107 | 58 | \$5,140,900 | \$14,250,300 | 6 |
| Michigan House District 37 | 42 | \$3,726,300 | \$10,328,900 | 7 |
| Michigan House District 26 | 34 | \$3,025,800 | \$8,387,400 | 8 |
| Michigan House District 104 | 31 | \$2,776,200 | \$7,695,400 | 9 |
| Michigan House District 2 | 27 | \$2,357,800 | \$6,535,700 | 10 |
| Michigan House District 15 | 27 | \$2,357,800 | \$6,535,700 | 10 |
| Michigan House District 40 | 27 | \$2,357,800 | \$6,535,700 | 10 |
| Michigan House District 22 | 26 | \$2,318,500 | \$6,426,700 | 13 |
| Michigan House District 53 | 21 | \$1,846,900 | \$5,119,600 | 14 |
| Michigan House District 90 | 20 | \$1,766,000 | \$4,895,300 | 15 |
| Michigan House District 109 | 16 | \$1,410,100 | \$3,908,600 | 16 |
| Michigan House District 28 | 16 | \$1,386,900 | \$3,844,500 | 17 |
| Michigan House District 108 | 14 | \$1,209,000 | \$3,351,100 | 18 |
| Michigan House District 19 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 20 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 24 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 29 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 31 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 38 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 41 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 43 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 45 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 46 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 47 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 75 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 77 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 89 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 79 | 10 | \$878,400 | \$2,434,900 | 33 |
| Michigan House District 56 | 9 | \$783,900 | \$2,173,000 | 34 |
| Michigan House District 1 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 3 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 4 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 5 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 6 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 8 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |


| Michigan House District 9 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 10 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 11 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 12 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 13 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 14 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 16 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 17 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 18 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 21 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 25 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 27 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 30 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 32 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 33 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 34 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 35 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 36 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 39 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 42 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 44 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 48 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 49 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 50 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 51 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 52 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 54 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 55 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 57 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 58 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 59 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 61 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 62 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 63 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 64 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 65 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 66 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 67 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 68 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 69 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 70 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 71 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 73 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 74 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 76 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |


| Michigan House District 78 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Michigan House District 80 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| Michigan House District 81 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| Michigan House District 82 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| Michigan House District 83 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| Michigan House District 84 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| Michigan House District 85 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| Michigan House District 86 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | 35 |  |
| Michigan House District 87 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| Michigan House District 88 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| Michigan House District 91 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| Michigan House District 92 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | 35 |  |
| Michigan House District 93 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| Michigan House District 94 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | 35 |  |
| Michigan House District 95 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| Michigan House District 97 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| Michigan House District 98 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | 35 |  |
| Michigan House District 99 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| Michigan House District 100 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 35 |
| Michigan House District 101 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | 35 |  |
| Michigan House District 102 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | 35 |  |
| Michigan House District 103 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | 35 |  |
| Michigan House District 105 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | 35 |  |
| Michigan House District 106 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | 35 |  |
| Michigan House District 110 | 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |  |


| District | Retail Jobs | Retail Wages | Retail Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 52 | 669 | \$14,947,500 | \$33,917,300 | 1 |
| Michigan House District 33 | 613 | \$14,128,700 | \$31,055,300 | 2 |
| Michigan House District 51 | 583 | \$13,288,700 | \$29,697,800 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 46 | 565 | \$12,731,200 | \$28,619,600 | 4 |
| Michigan House District 63 | 522 | \$12,354,300 | \$28,342,500 | 5 |
| Michigan House District 44 | 488 | \$10,993,800 | \$24,714,000 | 6 |
| Michigan House District 86 | 475 | \$10,999,800 | \$24,035,300 | 7 |
| Michigan House District 67 | 457 | \$10,814,700 | \$23,917,400 | 8 |
| Michigan House District 107 | 376 | \$8,586,100 | \$23,244,300 | 9 |
| Michigan House District 23 | 415 | \$9,699,800 | \$21,726,200 | 10 |
| Michigan House District 73 | 431 | \$9,958,800 | \$21,711,500 | 11 |
| Michigan House District 99 | 328 | \$7,649,300 | \$20,920,600 | 12 |
| Michigan House District 101 | 390 | \$9,220,800 | \$20,685,000 | 13 |
| Michigan House District 74 | 396 | \$9,168,400 | \$19,988,500 | 14 |
| Michigan House District 91 | 309 | \$7,296,000 | \$16,909,300 | 15 |
| Michigan House District 36 | 334 | \$7,691,200 | \$16,905,400 | 16 |
| Michigan House District 12 | 321 | \$7,501,300 | \$16,801,900 | 17 |
| Michigan House District 17 | 316 | \$7,353,300 | \$16,542,400 | 18 |
| Michigan House District 66 | 250 | \$6,085,900 | \$16,239,400 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 78 | 261 | \$6,176,600 | \$15,617,500 | 20 |
| Michigan House District 110 | 301 | \$7,363,800 | \$15,429,900 | 21 |
| Michigan House District 21 | 289 | \$6,740,200 | \$15,097,000 | 22 |
| Michigan House District 47 | 290 | \$6,559,800 | \$15,089,200 | 23 |
| Michigan House District 105 | 283 | \$6,376,000 | \$14,441,900 | 24 |
| Michigan House District 48 | 273 | \$6,322,100 | \$14,008,000 | 25 |
| Michigan House District 104 | 254 | \$5,881,600 | \$13,837,600 | 26 |
| Michigan House District 71 | 243 | \$6,169,300 | \$13,691,600 | 27 |
| Michigan House District 93 | 258 | \$6,049,700 | \$13,401,900 | 28 |
| Michigan House District 80 | 226 | \$5,248,400 | \$11,964,700 | 29 |
| Michigan House District 65 | 222 | \$5,295,900 | \$11,770,300 | 30 |
| Michigan House District 61 | 226 | \$5,330,600 | \$11,456,200 | 31 |
| Michigan House District 85 | 222 | \$5,106,600 | \$11,449,100 | 32 |
| Michigan House District 38 | 226 | \$5,085,700 | \$11,432,600 | 33 |
| Michigan House District 20 | 215 | \$5,015,300 | \$11,233,600 | 34 |
| Michigan House District 106 | 211 | \$5,008,300 | \$10,893,800 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 43 | 215 | \$4,840,000 | \$10,880,300 | 36 |
| Michigan House District 98 | 214 | \$5,005,500 | \$10,863,300 | 37 |
| Michigan House District 89 | 195 | \$4,532,400 | \$10,398,200 | 38 |
| Michigan House District 32 | 197 | \$4,567,200 | \$10,147,900 | 39 |
| Michigan House District 108 | 179 | \$4,213,500 | \$10,078,600 | 40 |

Michigan House District 39
Michigan House District 88
Michigan House District 109
Michigan House District 103
Michigan House District 19
Michigan House District 81
Michigan House District 56
Michigan House District 102
Michigan House District 55
Michigan House District 40
Michigan House District 94
Michigan House District 79
Michigan House District 100
Michigan House District 59
Michigan House District 57
Michigan House District 72
Michigan House District 29
Michigan House District 42
Michigan House District 45
Michigan House District 62
Michigan House District 87
Michigan House District 84
Michigan House District 58
Michigan House District 97
Michigan House District 96
Michigan House District 16
Michigan House District 69
Michigan House District 15
Michigan House District 82
Michigan House District 6
Michigan House District 37
Michigan House District 35
Michigan House District 41
Michigan House District 77
Michigan House District 64
Michigan House District 50
Michigan House District 24
Michigan House District 11
Michigan House District 4
Michigan House District 13
Michigan House District 30
Michigan House District 2
Michigan House District 54
Michigan House District 92
Michigan House District 90

| 185 | \$4,176,000 | \$9,387,600 | 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 170 | \$3,949,700 | \$9,061,400 | 42 |
| 174 | \$4,066,100 | \$9,006,100 | 43 |
| 168 | \$3,902,800 | \$8,973,200 | 44 |
| 170 | \$3,975,300 | \$8,904,200 | 45 |
| 165 | \$3,848,700 | \$8,661,300 | 46 |
| 165 | \$3,793,700 | \$8,632,600 | 47 |
| 158 | \$3,743,900 | \$8,535,200 | 48 |
| 166 | \$3,720,300 | \$8,441,700 | 49 |
| 156 | \$3,525,600 | \$7,925,500 | 50 |
| 143 | \$3,281,100 | \$7,549,300 | 51 |
| 124 | \$2,948,200 | \$7,515,800 | 52 |
| 144 | \$3,410,000 | \$7,367,900 | 53 |
| 139 | \$3,263,700 | \$7,357,700 | 54 |
| 140 | \$3,229,700 | \$7,263,600 | 55 |
| 136 | \$3,150,400 | \$6,945,300 | 56 |
| 136 | \$3,071,200 | \$6,903,900 | 57 |
| 132 | \$2,989,200 | \$6,876,000 | 58 |
| 133 | \$3,006,800 | \$6,759,200 | 59 |
| 106 | \$2,516,700 | \$6,610,400 | 60 |
| 126 | \$3,002,700 | \$6,588,200 | 61 |
| 129 | \$2,982,500 | \$6,543,400 | 62 |
| 118 | \$2,845,800 | \$6,499,000 | 63 |
| 118 | \$2,770,800 | \$6,420,000 | 64 |
| 128 | \$3,013,800 | \$6,353,100 | 65 |
| 119 | \$2,772,100 | \$6,209,200 | 66 |
| 116 | \$2,754,100 | \$6,091,000 | 67 |
| 116 | \$2,707,000 | \$6,063,200 | 68 |
| 121 | \$2,805,400 | \$6,018,800 | 69 |
| 115 | \$2,677,000 | \$5,996,000 | 70 |
| 115 | \$2,604,300 | \$5,854,500 | 71 |
| 115 | \$2,595,000 | \$5,833,600 | 72 |
| 115 | \$2,595,000 | \$5,833,400 | 73 |
| 111 | \$2,557,500 | \$5,575,700 | 74 |
| 107 | \$2,497,800 | \$5,551,600 | 75 |
| 107 | \$2,485,300 | \$5,506,800 | 76 |
| 106 | \$2,451,800 | \$5,389,100 | 77 |
| 101 | \$2,365,500 | \$5,298,400 | 78 |
| 99 | \$2,302,400 | \$5,157,200 | 79 |
| 99 | \$2,301,000 | \$5,154,000 | 80 |
| 99 | \$2,277,500 | \$5,006,100 | 81 |
| 95 | \$2,213,100 | \$4,957,100 | 82 |
| 95 | \$2,126,100 | \$4,824,400 | 83 |
| 88 | \$2,076,100 | \$4,811,700 | 84 |
| 89 | \$2,080,300 | \$4,772,600 | 85 |


| Michigan House District 14 | 90 | \$2,098,800 | \$4,701,000 | 86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 10 | 85 | \$1,983,600 | \$4,443,000 | 87 |
| Michigan House District 3 | 84 | \$1,960,600 | \$4,391,400 | 88 |
| Michigan House District 9 | 80 | \$1,869,400 | \$4,187,100 | 89 |
| Michigan House District 49 | 81 | \$1,876,300 | \$4,157,400 | 90 |
| Michigan House District 70 | 79 | \$1,877,700 | \$4,131,000 | 91 |
| Michigan House District 31 | 81 | \$1,865,300 | \$4,099,900 | 92 |
| Michigan House District 8 | 77 | \$1,809,600 | \$4,053,200 | 93 |
| Michigan House District 5 | 76 | \$1,784,900 | \$3,998,000 | 94 |
| Michigan House District 28 | 77 | \$1,777,000 | \$3,905,800 | 95 |
| Michigan House District 95 | 72 | \$1,646,100 | \$3,787,400 | 96 |
| Michigan House District 1 | 70 | \$1,644,900 | \$3,684,400 | 97 |
| Michigan House District 7 | 70 | \$1,640,800 | \$3,675,300 | 98 |
| Michigan House District 25 | 70 | \$1,610,900 | \$3,540,900 | 99 |
| Michigan House District 83 | 63 | \$1,485,500 | \$3,309,000 | 100 |
| Michigan House District 22 | 64 | \$1,479,800 | \$3,252,500 | 101 |
| Michigan House District 26 | 61 | \$1,366,400 | \$3,071,700 | 102 |
| Michigan House District 18 | 57 | \$1,305,100 | \$2,868,700 | 103 |
| Michigan House District 27 | 55 | \$1,235,700 | \$2,777,800 | 104 |
| Michigan House District 76 | 51 | \$1,176,500 | \$2,564,900 | 105 |
| Michigan House District 60 | 45 | \$1,061,100 | \$2,280,400 | 106 |
| Michigan House District 68 | 34 | \$797,700 | \$1,764,100 | 107 |
| Michigan House District 75 | 31 | \$720,800 | \$1,571,600 | 108 |
| Michigan House District 34 | 28 | \$658,500 | \$1,459,200 | 109 |
| Michigan House District 53 | 27 | \$594,500 | \$1,349,000 | 110 |


| District | Association Jobs | Association Wages | Association Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 68 | 5 | \$301,100 | \$828,600 | 1 |
| Michigan House District 78 | 5 | \$301,100 | \$828,600 | 1 |
| Michigan House District 1 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 2 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 3 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 4 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 5 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 6 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 7 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 8 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 9 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 10 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 11 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 12 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 13 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 14 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 15 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 16 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 17 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 18 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 19 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 20 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 21 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 22 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 23 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 24 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 25 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 26 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 27 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 28 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 29 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 30 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 31 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 32 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 33 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 34 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 35 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 36 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 37 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 38 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |


| Michigan House District 39 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 40 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 41 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 42 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 43 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 44 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 45 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 46 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 47 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 48 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 49 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 50 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 51 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 52 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 53 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 54 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 55 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 56 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 57 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 58 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 59 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 60 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 61 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 62 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 63 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 64 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 65 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 66 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 67 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 69 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 70 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 71 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 72 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 73 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 74 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 75 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 76 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 77 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 79 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 80 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 81 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 82 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 83 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 84 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 85 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |


| Michigan House District 86 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 87 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 88 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 89 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 90 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 91 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 92 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 93 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 94 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 95 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 96 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 97 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 98 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 99 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 100 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 101 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 102 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 103 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 104 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 105 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 106 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 107 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 108 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 109 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 110 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |


| District | Research and Education Jobs | Research and Education Wages | Research and Education Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 69 | 52 | \$4,892,900 | \$12,971,900 | 1 |
| Michigan House District 79 | 6 | \$564,600 | \$1,496,800 | 2 |
| Michigan House District 1 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 2 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 3 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 4 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 5 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 6 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 7 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 8 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 9 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 10 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 11 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 12 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 13 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 14 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 15 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 16 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 17 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 18 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 19 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 20 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 21 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 22 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 23 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 24 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 25 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 26 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 27 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 28 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 29 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 30 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 31 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 32 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 33 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 34 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 35 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 36 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 37 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 38 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |


| Michigan House District 39 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 40 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 41 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 42 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 43 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 44 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 45 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 46 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 47 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 48 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 49 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 50 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 51 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 52 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 53 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 54 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 55 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 56 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 57 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 58 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 59 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 60 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 61 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 62 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 63 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 64 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 65 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 66 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 67 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 68 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 70 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 71 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 72 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 73 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 74 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 75 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 76 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 77 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 78 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 80 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 81 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 82 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 83 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 84 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 85 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |


| Michigan House District 86 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 87 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 88 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 89 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 90 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 91 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 92 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 93 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 94 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 95 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 96 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 97 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 98 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 99 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 100 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 101 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 102 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 103 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 104 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 105 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 106 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 107 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 108 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 109 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 110 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |


| District | Tourism Jobs | Tourism Wages | Tourism Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 101 | 548 | \$11,276,000 | \$30,753,900 | 1 |
| Michigan House District 78 | 359 | \$7,381,300 | \$20,127,000 | 2 |
| Michigan House District 104 | 353 | \$7,304,400 | \$19,974,900 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 66 | 124 | \$2,587,100 | \$7,054,100 | 4 |
| Michigan House District 71 | 121 | \$2,522,000 | \$6,877,600 | 5 |
| Michigan House District 94 | 117 | \$2,429,900 | \$6,647,500 | 6 |
| Michigan House District 107 | 112 | \$2,360,500 | \$6,455,900 | 7 |
| Michigan House District 56 | 107 | \$2,209,400 | \$6,025,500 | 8 |
| Michigan House District 65 | 85 | \$1,802,500 | \$4,913,000 | 9 |
| Michigan House District 80 | 78 | \$1,631,000 | \$4,455,600 | 10 |
| Michigan House District 79 | 76 | \$1,614,600 | \$4,403,900 | 11 |
| Michigan House District 55 | 73 | \$1,562,800 | \$4,303,300 | 12 |
| Michigan House District 81 | 66 | \$1,360,300 | \$3,712,200 | 13 |
| Michigan House District 51 | 64 | \$1,341,300 | \$3,657,000 | 14 |
| Michigan House District 12 | 18 | \$1,018,100 | \$3,551,600 | 15 |
| Michigan House District 105 | 58 | \$1,272,400 | \$3,525,700 | 16 |
| Michigan House District 90 | 57 | \$1,191,900 | \$3,250,800 | 17 |
| Michigan House District 38 | 52 | \$1,180,200 | \$3,209,100 | 18 |
| Michigan House District 109 | 43 | \$1,072,700 | \$3,113,500 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 106 | 45 | \$1,032,700 | \$2,888,000 | 20 |
| Michigan House District 83 | 46 | \$1,009,200 | \$2,748,700 | 21 |
| Michigan House District 57 | 44 | \$937,300 | \$2,555,400 | 22 |
| Michigan House District 108 | 40 | \$912,700 | \$2,529,500 | 23 |
| Michigan House District 100 | 42 | \$888,300 | \$2,422,700 | 24 |
| Michigan House District 110 | 37 | \$852,200 | \$2,364,900 | 25 |
| Michigan House District 73 | 41 | \$864,100 | \$2,359,400 | 26 |
| Michigan House District 74 | 35 | \$775,900 | \$2,112,700 | 27 |
| Michigan House District 27 | 37 | \$772,500 | \$2,107,600 | 28 |
| Michigan House District 84 | 36 | \$769,400 | \$2,100,100 | 29 |
| Michigan House District 61 | 32 | \$747,800 | \$2,070,200 | 30 |
| Michigan House District 52 | 35 | \$753,700 | \$2,060,500 | 31 |
| Michigan House District 91 | 31 | \$696,900 | \$1,911,600 | 32 |
| Michigan House District 59 | 31 | \$674,900 | \$1,841,500 | 33 |
| Michigan House District 49 | 22 | \$589,900 | \$1,712,600 | 34 |
| Michigan House District 72 | 25 | \$577,100 | \$1,572,000 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 24 | 26 | \$563,100 | \$1,539,100 | 36 |
| Michigan House District 23 | 21 | \$524,100 | \$1,493,600 | 37 |
| Michigan House District 36 | 25 | \$542,800 | \$1,478,700 | 38 |
| Michigan House District 103 | 24 | \$540,500 | \$1,477,400 | 39 |
| Michigan House District 98 | 25 | \$536,800 | \$1,466,500 | 40 |

Michigan House District 32
Michigan House District 47
Michigan House District 86
Michigan House District 19
Michigan House District 20
Michigan House District 69
Michigan House District 41
Michigan House District 97
Michigan House District 50
Michigan House District 63
Michigan House District 58
Michigan House District 22
Michigan House District 95
Michigan House District 102
Michigan House District 99
Michigan House District 82
Michigan House District 29
Michigan House District 25
Michigan House District 26
Michigan House District 30
Michigan House District 62
Michigan House District 45
Michigan House District 87
Michigan House District 92
Michigan House District 11
Michigan House District 93
Michigan House District 9
Michigan House District 60
Michigan House District 44
Michigan House District 21
Michigan House District 53
Michigan House District 89
Michigan House District 33
Michigan House District 43
Michigan House District 16
Michigan House District 15
Michigan House District 77
Michigan House District 96
Michigan House District 13
Michigan House District 68
Michigan House District 46
Michigan House District 17
Michigan House District 35
Michigan House District 31
Michigan House District 34
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17
17
16
16
16
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11
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12
10
10
11

| \$537,300 | \$1,465,200 | 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$527,600 | \$1,438,700 | 42 |
| \$517,100 | \$1,409,300 | 43 |
| \$507,800 | \$1,378,000 | 44 |
| \$499,800 | \$1,362,400 | 45 |
| \$498,700 | \$1,357,800 | 46 |
| \$495,500 | \$1,344,500 | 47 |
| \$488,200 | \$1,335,000 | 48 |
| \$461,100 | \$1,303,000 | 49 |
| \$468,300 | \$1,286,200 | 50 |
| \$446,300 | \$1,219,500 | 51 |
| \$431,800 | \$1,174,500 | 52 |
| \$429,000 | \$1,168,700 | 53 |
| \$426,800 | \$1,166,500 | 54 |
| \$421,300 | \$1,157,900 | 55 |
| \$379,600 | \$1,036,000 | 56 |
| \$374,700 | \$1,022,200 | 57 |
| \$374,900 | \$1,016,800 | 58 |
| \$372,100 | \$1,014,500 | 59 |
| \$371,900 | \$1,013,500 | 60 |
| \$369,700 | \$1,013,200 | 61 |
| \$365,400 | \$995,200 | 62 |
| \$362,400 | \$990,900 | 63 |
| \$356,300 | \$978,600 | 64 |
| \$343,100 | \$935,900 | 65 |
| \$326,100 | \$932,600 | 66 |
| \$326,300 | \$893,500 | 67 |
| \$324,900 | \$888,400 | 68 |
| \$280,400 | \$887,800 | 69 |
| \$305,300 | \$835,800 | 70 |
| \$304,400 | \$833,300 | 71 |
| \$290,000 | \$791,100 | 72 |
| \$286,600 | \$783,700 | 73 |
| \$238,700 | \$776,200 | 74 |
| \$264,000 | \$736,600 | 75 |
| \$257,700 | \$734,400 | 76 |
| \$268,400 | \$732,300 | 77 |
| \$260,100 | \$713,100 | 78 |
| \$260,400 | \$709,900 | 79 |
| \$252,400 | \$695,600 | 80 |
| \$249,300 | \$679,800 | 81 |
| \$237,700 | \$655,400 | 82 |
| \$238,500 | \$653,300 | 83 |
| \$237,300 | \$649,000 | 84 |
| \$197,500 | \$638,500 | 85 |


| Michigan House District 42 | 10 | \$231,000 | \$632,300 | 86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 28 | 9 | \$215,700 | \$589,200 | 87 |
| Michigan House District 70 | 9 | \$212,900 | \$583,100 | 88 |
| Michigan House District 64 | 8 | \$202,100 | \$553,200 | 89 |
| Michigan House District 37 | 8 | \$197,300 | \$538,000 | 90 |
| Michigan House District 39 | 8 | \$196,800 | \$536,800 | 91 |
| Michigan House District 48 | 8 | \$192,300 | \$526,500 | 92 |
| Michigan House District 54 | 6 | \$172,700 | \$515,900 | 93 |
| Michigan House District 1 | 7 | \$186,200 | \$506,700 | 94 |
| Michigan House District 85 | 7 | \$162,400 | \$446,300 | 95 |
| Michigan House District 88 | 6 | \$144,700 | \$397,100 | 96 |
| Michigan House District 6 | 6 | \$142,100 | \$391,000 | 97 |
| Michigan House District 7 | 6 | \$141,800 | \$389,000 | 98 |
| Michigan House District 75 | 6 | \$139,800 | \$382,200 | 99 |
| Michigan House District 40 | 6 | \$136,000 | \$370,800 | 100 |
| Michigan House District 67 | 5 | \$121,900 | \$332,400 | 101 |
| Michigan House District 76 | 5 | \$119,200 | \$327,800 | 102 |
| Michigan House District 4 | 5 | \$116,600 | \$320,800 | 103 |
| Michigan House District 10 | 5 | \$110,900 | \$304,600 | 104 |
| Michigan House District 18 | 4 | \$100,200 | \$274,500 | 105 |
| Michigan House District 5 | 4 | \$99,200 | \$273,100 | 106 |
| Michigan House District 14 | 4 | \$98,700 | \$271,300 | 107 |
| Michigan House District 3 | 4 | \$93,100 | \$255,400 | 108 |
| Michigan House District 8 | 4 | \$89,500 | \$246,500 | 109 |
| Michigan House District 2 | 4 | \$88,600 | \$243,800 | 110 |


| District | Total Direct Jobs | Total Direct Wages | Total Direct Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 101 | 1,210 | \$33,149,100 | \$121,699,800 | 1 |
| Michigan House District 104 | 817 | \$24,208,200 | \$86,829,400 | 2 |
| Michigan House District 78 | 853 | \$23,515,900 | \$84,719,300 | 3 |
| Michigan House District 72 | 363 | \$21,352,700 | \$58,184,300 | 4 |
| Michigan House District 107 | 594 | \$18,334,600 | \$56,548,700 | 5 |
| Michigan House District 23 | 529 | \$18,272,600 | \$46,074,500 | 6 |
| Michigan House District 96 | 296 | \$17,143,600 | \$45,511,700 | 7 |
| Michigan House District 51 | 675 | \$15,975,700 | \$40,949,800 | 8 |
| Michigan House District 66 | 477 | \$12,594,900 | \$40,041,600 | 9 |
| Michigan House District 52 | 715 | \$16,217,300 | \$38,886,700 | 10 |
| Michigan House District 7 | 207 | \$13,432,900 | \$36,358,200 | 11 |
| Michigan House District 71 | 417 | \$11,199,600 | \$34,731,700 | 12 |
| Michigan House District 46 | 594 | \$14,349,000 | \$33,636,700 | 13 |
| Michigan House District 33 | 630 | \$14,604,900 | \$32,908,400 | 14 |
| Michigan House District 60 | 179 | \$11,769,400 | \$32,495,100 | 15 |
| Michigan House District 56 | 330 | \$9,104,600 | \$29,910,400 | 16 |
| Michigan House District 63 | 543 | \$12,872,600 | \$29,900,300 | 17 |
| Michigan House District 94 | 314 | \$8,258,600 | \$28,578,100 | 18 |
| Michigan House District 73 | 488 | \$11,558,400 | \$28,223,600 | 19 |
| Michigan House District 86 | 501 | \$11,706,400 | \$26,513,900 | 20 |
| Michigan House District 65 | 341 | \$8,715,000 | \$25,805,600 | 21 |
| Michigan House District 44 | 496 | \$11,274,500 | \$25,602,300 | 22 |
| Michigan House District 79 | 275 | \$8,230,600 | \$25,278,900 | 23 |
| Michigan House District 74 | 442 | \$10,477,000 | \$25,109,200 | 24 |
| Michigan House District 80 | 335 | \$8,329,300 | \$24,486,400 | 25 |
| Michigan House District 67 | 463 | \$10,971,300 | \$24,307,000 | 26 |
| Michigan House District 105 | 362 | \$8,609,800 | \$23,336,500 | 27 |
| Michigan House District 99 | 348 | \$8,189,200 | \$22,747,000 | 28 |
| Michigan House District 69 | 197 | \$8,493,700 | \$22,350,400 | 29 |
| Michigan House District 38 | 305 | \$8,129,800 | \$21,776,500 | 30 |
| Michigan House District 47 | 332 | \$8,550,300 | \$21,399,000 | 31 |
| Michigan House District 55 | 270 | \$6,741,300 | \$20,977,300 | 32 |
| Michigan House District 91 | 348 | \$8,372,900 | \$20,960,900 | 33 |
| Michigan House District 36 | 367 | \$8,612,800 | \$20,522,100 | 34 |
| Michigan House District 12 | 339 | \$8,519,500 | \$20,353,600 | 35 |
| Michigan House District 81 | 260 | \$6,560,800 | \$20,001,800 | 36 |
| Michigan House District 110 | 346 | \$8,576,700 | \$19,816,000 | 37 |
| Michigan House District 109 | 246 | \$7,150,300 | \$19,423,700 | 38 |
| Michigan House District 90 | 190 | \$6,184,400 | \$19,389,500 | 39 |
| Michigan House District 108 | 244 | \$6,851,000 | \$18,867,700 | 40 |


| Michigan House District 20 | 260 | \$7,120,000 | \$18,268,900 | 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 106 | 269 | \$6,666,200 | \$17,271,900 | 42 |
| Michigan House District 17 | 326 | \$7,591,900 | \$17,199,300 | 43 |
| Michigan House District 37 | 165 | \$6,528,000 | \$16,721,500 | 44 |
| Michigan House District 21 | 301 | \$7,045,600 | \$15,932,900 | 45 |
| Michigan House District 61 | 266 | \$6,468,200 | \$15,724,200 | 46 |
| Michigan House District 93 | 274 | \$6,518,100 | \$15,136,700 | 47 |
| Michigan House District 43 | 234 | \$6,257,700 | \$14,924,500 | 48 |
| Michigan House District 40 | 189 | \$6,019,500 | \$14,832,200 | 49 |
| Michigan House District 98 | 248 | \$5,946,800 | \$14,612,400 | 50 |
| Michigan House District 48 | 281 | \$6,515,000 | \$14,535,400 | 51 |
| Michigan House District 89 | 220 | \$6,001,700 | \$14,457,700 | 52 |
| Michigan House District 100 | 203 | \$5,100,800 | \$14,306,000 | 53 |
| Michigan House District 57 | 200 | \$4,934,500 | \$14,151,400 | 54 |
| Michigan House District 26 | 117 | \$4,979,200 | \$13,687,000 | 55 |
| Michigan House District 19 | 203 | \$5,662,000 | \$13,550,100 | 56 |
| Michigan House District 32 | 228 | \$5,436,500 | \$13,484,700 | 57 |
| Michigan House District 15 | 153 | \$5,322,500 | \$13,333,300 | 58 |
| Michigan House District 24 | 154 | \$4,598,100 | \$12,478,200 | 59 |
| Michigan House District 59 | 188 | \$4,619,300 | \$12,213,200 | 60 |
| Michigan House District 45 | 166 | \$4,740,500 | \$12,091,200 | 61 |
| Michigan House District 29 | 168 | \$4,766,900 | \$11,995,700 | 62 |
| Michigan House District 84 | 177 | \$4,332,800 | \$11,913,300 | 63 |
| Michigan House District 85 | 229 | \$5,270,300 | \$11,897,500 | 64 |
| Michigan House District 103 | 197 | \$4,684,600 | \$11,794,400 | 65 |
| Michigan House District 2 | 126 | \$4,659,500 | \$11,736,600 | 66 |
| Michigan House District 22 | 110 | \$4,324,800 | \$11,388,200 | 67 |
| Michigan House District 102 | 181 | \$4,346,000 | \$10,688,800 | 68 |
| Michigan House District 83 | 126 | \$3,294,800 | \$10,569,900 | 69 |
| Michigan House District 41 | 147 | \$4,269,500 | \$10,445,800 | 70 |
| Michigan House District 39 | 193 | \$4,372,900 | \$9,924,600 | 71 |
| Michigan House District 97 | 148 | \$3,606,300 | \$9,714,900 | 72 |
| Michigan House District 77 | 135 | \$4,004,800 | \$9,575,800 | 73 |
| Michigan House District 88 | 176 | \$4,094,700 | \$9,459,000 | 74 |
| Michigan House District 27 | 109 | \$2,793,500 | \$9,319,400 | 75 |
| Michigan House District 58 | 142 | \$3,481,400 | \$8,787,400 | 76 |
| Michigan House District 31 | 107 | \$3,376,200 | \$8,551,200 | 77 |
| Michigan House District 53 | 67 | \$2,960,800 | \$8,515,300 | 78 |
| Michigan House District 87 | 145 | \$3,507,800 | \$8,381,900 | 79 |
| Michigan House District 28 | 102 | \$3,379,600 | \$8,339,600 | 80 |
| Michigan House District 82 | 142 | \$3,376,900 | \$8,128,000 | 81 |
| Michigan House District 62 | 122 | \$2,887,400 | \$7,625,300 | 82 |
| Michigan House District 42 | 142 | \$3,220,200 | \$7,508,300 | 83 |
| Michigan House District 11 | 120 | \$2,897,900 | \$7,303,200 | 84 |
| Michigan House District 30 | 121 | \$2,864,400 | \$7,233,000 | 85 |


| Michigan House District 92 | 109 | \$2,647,700 | \$7,004,300 | 86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan House District 16 | 129 | \$3,036,100 | \$6,945,800 | 87 |
| Michigan House District 49 | 107 | \$2,655,700 | \$6,939,200 | 88 |
| Michigan House District 50 | 124 | \$2,946,600 | \$6,810,200 | 89 |
| Michigan House District 35 | 125 | \$2,833,600 | \$6,487,000 | 90 |
| Michigan House District 6 | 121 | \$2,819,100 | \$6,387,000 | 91 |
| Michigan House District 9 | 100 | \$2,410,600 | \$6,293,900 | 92 |
| Michigan House District 64 | 115 | \$2,700,800 | \$6,106,200 | 93 |
| Michigan House District 13 | 109 | \$2,561,400 | \$5,863,900 | 94 |
| Michigan House District 4 | 104 | \$2,423,100 | \$5,501,300 | 95 |
| Michigan House District 75 | 51 | \$2,086,800 | \$5,488,800 | 96 |
| Michigan House District 54 | 101 | \$2,299,000 | \$5,340,600 | 97 |
| Michigan House District 14 | 94 | \$2,197,500 | \$4,972,300 | 98 |
| Michigan House District 95 | 89 | \$2,075,500 | \$4,956,700 | 99 |
| Michigan House District 10 | 90 | \$2,094,500 | \$4,747,600 | 100 |
| Michigan House District 70 | 88 | \$2,090,600 | \$4,714,100 | 101 |
| Michigan House District 3 | 88 | \$2,053,700 | \$4,646,800 | 102 |
| Michigan House District 25 | 85 | \$1,985,800 | \$4,557,800 | 103 |
| Michigan House District 8 | 81 | \$1,899,100 | \$4,299,700 | 104 |
| Michigan House District 5 | 80 | \$1,884,100 | \$4,271,100 | 105 |
| Michigan House District 1 | 77 | \$1,831,100 | \$4,191,100 | 106 |
| Michigan House District 68 | 49 | \$1,353,800 | \$3,292,500 | 107 |
| Michigan House District 18 | 61 | \$1,405,300 | \$3,143,300 | 108 |
| Michigan House District 76 | 56 | \$1,295,700 | \$2,892,700 | 109 |
| Michigan House District 34 | 33 | \$856,100 | \$2,097,800 | 110 |

## Appendix C - Economic Impact Rankings by Michigan State Senate District

| District | Winery Jobs | Winery Wages | Winery Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan Senate District 35 | 299 | \$14,118,300 | \$79,918,300 | 1 |
| Michigan Senate District 37 | 255 | \$12,022,600 | \$68,064,200 | 2 |
| Michigan Senate District 21 | 222 | \$10,460,600 | \$59,146,300 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 26 | 106 | \$4,978,000 | \$28,228,000 | 4 |
| Michigan Senate District 32 | 84 | \$3,940,400 | \$22,326,700 | 5 |
| Michigan Senate District 25 | 77 | \$3,615,800 | \$20,479,100 | 6 |
| Michigan Senate District 30 | 73 | \$3,342,100 | \$19,249,700 | 7 |
| Michigan Senate District 17 | 68 | \$3,233,700 | \$18,284,500 | 8 |
| Michigan Senate District 7 | 69 | \$3,142,800 | \$18,214,400 | 9 |
| Michigan Senate District 24 | 68 | \$3,203,200 | \$18,147,500 | 10 |
| Michigan Senate District 34 | 60 | \$2,765,000 | \$15,881,500 | 11 |
| Michigan Senate District 22 | 52 | \$2,354,800 | \$13,589,200 | 12 |
| Michigan Senate District 16 | 45 | \$2,121,600 | \$12,033,400 | 13 |
| Michigan Senate District 29 | 43 | \$1,993,400 | \$11,501,600 | 14 |
| Michigan Senate District 18 | 41 | \$1,928,000 | \$10,905,400 | 15 |
| Michigan Senate District 36 | 38 | \$1,793,500 | \$10,182,800 | 16 |
| Michigan Senate District 8 | 36 | \$1,676,900 | \$9,585,400 | 17 |
| Michigan Senate District 38 | 32 | \$1,518,400 | \$8,580,700 | 18 |
| Michigan Senate District 28 | 23 | \$1,050,700 | \$5,952,300 | 19 |
| Michigan Senate District 11 | 17 | \$785,300 | \$4,433,900 | 20 |
| Michigan Senate District 15 | 14 | \$684,900 | \$3,866,800 | 21 |
| Michigan Senate District 20 | 13 | \$625,700 | \$3,532,600 | 22 |
| Michigan Senate District 13 | 13 | \$585,300 | \$3,336,100 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 14 | 9 | \$404,200 | \$2,282,100 | 24 |
| Michigan Senate District 33 | 8 | \$345,700 | \$1,957,200 | 25 |
| Michigan Senate District 12 | 7 | \$331,300 | \$1,870,500 | 26 |
| Michigan Senate District 1 | 4 | \$215,000 | \$1,217,700 | 27 |
| Michigan Senate District 3 | 5 | \$214,900 | \$1,213,300 | 28 |
| Michigan Senate District 6 | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 29 |
| Michigan Senate District 27 | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 29 |
| Michigan Senate District 31 | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 29 |
| Michigan Senate District 19 | 3 | \$142,000 | \$801,700 | 32 |
| Michigan Senate District 9 | 2 | \$94,700 | \$534,400 | 33 |
| Michigan Senate District 10 | 2 | \$94,700 | \$534,400 | 33 |
| Michigan Senate District 2 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan Senate District 4 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan Senate District 5 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |
| Michigan Senate District 23 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 35 |


| District | Vineyard Jobs | Vineyard Wages | Vineyard Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan Senate District 21 | 94 | \$2,586,700 | \$4,263,100 | 1 |
| Michigan Senate District 26 | 50 | \$1,383,700 | \$2,280,500 | 2 |
| Michigan Senate District 37 | 13 | \$352,900 | \$581,600 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 35 | 11 | \$299,800 | \$494,100 | 4 |
| Michigan Senate District 23 | 1 | \$35,300 | \$58,200 | 5 |
| Michigan Senate District 24 | 0 | \$11,700 | \$19,200 | 6 |
| Michigan Senate District 34 | 0 | \$5,700 | \$9,400 | 7 |
| Michigan Senate District 25 | 0 | \$5,300 | \$8,700 | 8 |
| Michigan Senate District 38 | 0 | \$4,800 | \$7,900 | 9 |
| Michigan Senate District 36 | 0 | \$3,800 | \$6,300 | 10 |
| Michigan Senate District 31 | 0 | \$3,500 | \$5,800 | 11 |
| Michigan Senate District 19 | 0 | \$3,400 | \$5,600 | 12 |
| Michigan Senate District 17 | 0 | \$2,800 | \$4,600 | 13 |
| Michigan Senate District 20 | 0 | \$2,400 | \$3,900 | 14 |
| Michigan Senate District 32 | 0 | \$2,200 | \$3,700 | 15 |
| Michigan Senate District 16 | 0 | \$2,200 | \$3,600 | 16 |
| Michigan Senate District 22 | 0 | \$1,200 | \$1,900 | 17 |
| Michigan Senate District 14 | 0 | \$800 | \$1,400 | 18 |
| Michigan Senate District 30 | 0 | \$900 | \$1,400 | 18 |
| Michigan Senate District 18 | 0 | \$600 | \$1,100 | 20 |
| Michigan Senate District 27 | 0 | \$700 | \$1,100 | 20 |
| Michigan Senate District 12 | 0 | \$500 | \$800 | 22 |
| Michigan Senate District 8 | 0 | \$400 | \$700 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 15 | 0 | \$400 | \$700 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 33 | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 25 |
| Michigan Senate District 6 | 0 | \$300 | \$400 | 26 |
| Michigan Senate District 10 | 0 | \$200 | \$300 | 27 |
| Michigan Senate District 11 | 0 | \$200 | \$300 | 27 |
| Michigan Senate District 13 | 0 | \$200 | \$300 | 27 |
| Michigan Senate District 1 | 0 | \$100 | \$200 | 30 |
| Michigan Senate District 7 | 0 | \$100 | \$200 | 30 |
| Michigan Senate District 9 | 0 | \$100 | \$200 | 30 |
| Michigan Senate District 2 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 33 |
| Michigan Senate District 3 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 33 |
| Michigan Senate District 4 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 33 |
| Michigan Senate District 5 | 0 | \$100 | \$100 | 33 |
| Michigan Senate District 28 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 37 |
| Michigan Senate District 29 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 37 |


| District | Wholesale Jobs | Wholesale Wages | Wholesale Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan Senate District 26 | 196 | \$17,336,800 | \$48,056,400 | 1 |
| Michigan Senate District 2 | 158 | \$14,008,100 | \$38,829,600 | 2 |
| Michigan Senate District 31 | 157 | \$13,869,400 | \$38,445,100 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 20 | 115 | \$10,194,000 | \$28,257,200 | 4 |
| Michigan Senate District 37 | 89 | \$7,917,100 | \$21,945,800 | 5 |
| Michigan Senate District 6 | 89 | \$7,859,300 | \$21,785,600 | 6 |
| Michigan Senate District 11 | 76 | \$6,752,100 | \$18,716,400 | 7 |
| Michigan Senate District 12 | 67 | \$5,894,500 | \$16,339,200 | 8 |
| Michigan Senate District 9 | 55 | \$4,884,300 | \$13,539,100 | 9 |
| Michigan Senate District 30 | 33 | \$2,944,900 | \$8,163,200 | 10 |
| Michigan Senate District 38 | 30 | \$2,619,000 | \$7,259,700 | 11 |
| Michigan Senate District 3 | 27 | \$2,357,800 | \$6,535,700 | 12 |
| Michigan Senate District 7 | 27 | \$2,357,800 | \$6,535,700 | 12 |
| Michigan Senate District 13 | 27 | \$2,357,800 | \$6,535,700 | 12 |
| Michigan Senate District 18 | 21 | \$1,846,900 | \$5,119,600 | 15 |
| Michigan Senate District 10 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 16 |
| Michigan Senate District 15 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 16 |
| Michigan Senate District 22 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 16 |
| Michigan Senate District 28 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 16 |
| Michigan Senate District 29 | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 16 |
| Michigan Senate District 21 | 10 | \$878,400 | \$2,434,900 | 21 |
| Michigan Senate District 17 | 9 | \$783,900 | \$2,173,000 | 22 |
| Michigan Senate District 1 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 4 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 5 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 8 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 14 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 16 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 19 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 23 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 24 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 25 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 27 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 32 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 33 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 34 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 35 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 36 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 23 |


| District | Retail Jobs | Retail Wages | Retail Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan Senate District 6 | 1,076 | \$25,130,500 | \$56,288,800 | 1 |
| Michigan Senate District 22 | 1,040 | \$23,349,800 | \$53,280,700 | 2 |
| Michigan Senate District 28 | 1,034 | \$23,921,500 | \$52,152,400 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 14 | 985 | \$22,310,700 | \$50,028,300 | 4 |
| Michigan Senate District 37 | 865 | \$19,895,000 | \$48,743,400 | 5 |
| Michigan Senate District 12 | 815 | \$18,376,900 | \$41,311,000 | 6 |
| Michigan Senate District 8 | 815 | \$18,775,100 | \$41,268,200 | 7 |
| Michigan Senate District 24 | 743 | \$17,949,700 | \$39,639,900 | 8 |
| Michigan Senate District 20 | 728 | \$17,185,400 | \$36,934,100 | 9 |
| Michigan Senate District 35 | 680 | \$16,078,300 | \$36,209,400 | 10 |
| Michigan Senate District 15 | 697 | \$15,721,300 | \$35,341,200 | 11 |
| Michigan Senate District 25 | 652 | \$15,117,200 | \$33,518,700 | 12 |
| Michigan Senate District 38 | 633 | \$15,114,400 | \$33,513,900 | 13 |
| Michigan Senate District 21 | 524 | \$12,388,500 | \$30,491,000 | 14 |
| Michigan Senate District 26 | 519 | \$12,294,200 | \$30,441,800 | 15 |
| Michigan Senate District 32 | 581 | \$13,409,300 | \$30,398,500 | 16 |
| Michigan Senate District 7 | 556 | \$12,987,800 | \$29,091,000 | 17 |
| Michigan Senate District 34 | 521 | \$12,267,000 | \$27,989,400 | 18 |
| Michigan Senate District 33 | 461 | \$10,877,100 | \$27,988,700 | 19 |
| Michigan Senate District 36 | 497 | \$11,462,900 | \$26,414,800 | 20 |
| Michigan Senate District 23 | 465 | \$11,003,000 | \$24,333,800 | 21 |
| Michigan Senate District 30 | 454 | \$10,562,500 | \$24,232,300 | 22 |
| Michigan Senate District 19 | 406 | \$9,692,000 | \$24,024,800 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 31 | 474 | \$11,120,900 | \$23,740,100 | 24 |
| Michigan Senate District 29 | 443 | \$10,238,600 | \$22,321,600 | 25 |
| Michigan Senate District 17 | 399 | \$9,200,600 | \$20,846,200 | 26 |
| Michigan Senate District 1 | 397 | \$9,281,300 | \$20,788,800 | 27 |
| Michigan Senate District 16 | 384 | \$9,091,300 | \$20,380,300 | 28 |
| Michigan Senate District 18 | 339 | \$7,587,500 | \$17,216,800 | 29 |
| Michigan Senate District 27 | 325 | \$7,524,600 | \$16,672,500 | 30 |
| Michigan Senate District 13 | 311 | \$7,009,900 | \$15,758,300 | 31 |
| Michigan Senate District 10 | 308 | \$7,105,600 | \$15,618,400 | 32 |
| Michigan Senate District 3 | 269 | \$6,294,300 | \$14,098,400 | 33 |
| Michigan Senate District 11 | 274 | \$6,175,200 | \$13,881,900 | 34 |
| Michigan Senate District 5 | 251 | \$5,857,800 | \$13,120,800 | 35 |
| Michigan Senate District 2 | 233 | \$5,442,900 | \$12,191,300 | 36 |
| Michigan Senate District 4 | 231 | \$5,401,800 | \$12,099,300 | 37 |
| Michigan Senate District 9 | 213 | \$4,912,300 | \$10,797,400 | 38 |


| District | Association Jobs | Association Wages | Association Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan Senate District 21 | 5 | \$301,100 | \$828,600 | 1 |
| Michigan Senate District 23 | 5 | \$301,100 | \$828,600 | 1 |
| Michigan Senate District 1 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 2 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 3 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 4 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 5 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 6 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 7 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 8 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 9 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 10 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 11 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 12 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 13 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 14 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 15 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 16 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 17 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 18 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 19 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 20 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 22 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 24 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 25 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 26 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 27 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 28 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 29 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 30 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 31 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 32 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 33 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 34 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 35 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 36 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 37 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 38 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |


| District | Research and <br> Education Jobs | Research and <br> Education <br> Wages | Research and <br> Education <br> Output | Rank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| District | Tourism Jobs | Tourism Wages | Tourism Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan Senate District 35 | 595 | \$12,301,300 | \$33,554,800 | 1 |
| Michigan Senate District 37 | 509 | \$10,630,700 | \$29,115,900 | 2 |
| Michigan Senate District 21 | 467 | \$9,670,800 | \$26,372,400 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 26 | 224 | \$4,712,000 | \$12,855,100 | 4 |
| Michigan Senate District 32 | 179 | \$3,787,400 | \$10,348,500 | 5 |
| Michigan Senate District 25 | 171 | \$3,604,400 | \$9,832,900 | 6 |
| Michigan Senate District 17 | 157 | \$3,301,800 | \$9,005,900 | 7 |
| Michigan Senate District 24 | 148 | \$3,154,900 | \$8,649,600 | 8 |
| Michigan Senate District 38 | 119 | \$2,823,200 | \$7,968,400 | 9 |
| Michigan Senate District 16 | 112 | \$2,428,800 | \$6,624,900 | 10 |
| Michigan Senate District 36 | 102 | \$2,282,200 | \$6,311,200 | 11 |
| Michigan Senate District 18 | 100 | \$2,200,700 | \$6,091,400 | 12 |
| Michigan Senate District 6 | 48 | \$1,768,300 | \$5,656,000 | 13 |
| Michigan Senate District 34 | 80 | \$1,753,600 | \$4,800,200 | 14 |
| Michigan Senate District 30 | 75 | \$1,626,700 | \$4,439,000 | 15 |
| Michigan Senate District 28 | 69 | \$1,547,000 | \$4,217,000 | 16 |
| Michigan Senate District 15 | 66 | \$1,524,800 | \$4,147,500 | 17 |
| Michigan Senate District 8 | 66 | \$1,449,100 | \$3,955,400 | 18 |
| Michigan Senate District 22 | 60 | \$1,351,500 | \$3,692,600 | 19 |
| Michigan Senate District 11 | 60 | \$1,328,300 | \$3,624,700 | 20 |
| Michigan Senate District 20 | 55 | \$1,289,700 | \$3,551,500 | 21 |
| Michigan Senate District 14 | 42 | \$1,184,500 | \$3,526,500 | 22 |
| Michigan Senate District 7 | 54 | \$1,283,700 | \$3,490,600 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 27 | 38 | \$1,073,500 | \$3,132,200 | 24 |
| Michigan Senate District 19 | 48 | \$1,124,100 | \$3,081,700 | 25 |
| Michigan Senate District 13 | 47 | \$1,115,500 | \$3,035,800 | 26 |
| Michigan Senate District 29 | 48 | \$1,080,000 | \$2,949,000 | 27 |
| Michigan Senate District 33 | 43 | \$983,000 | \$2,686,500 | 28 |
| Michigan Senate District 10 | 34 | \$846,700 | \$2,302,900 | 29 |
| Michigan Senate District 9 | 33 | \$804,400 | \$2,192,600 | 30 |
| Michigan Senate District 31 | 34 | \$791,800 | \$2,166,500 | 31 |
| Michigan Senate District 12 | 33 | \$743,000 | \$2,026,300 | 32 |
| Michigan Senate District 3 | 29 | \$691,300 | \$1,922,700 | 33 |
| Michigan Senate District 1 | 28 | \$656,200 | \$1,829,500 | 34 |
| Michigan Senate District 23 | 21 | \$547,700 | \$1,498,500 | 35 |
| Michigan Senate District 4 | 18 | \$447,200 | \$1,223,300 | 36 |
| Michigan Senate District 2 | 16 | \$374,200 | \$1,023,900 | 37 |
| Michigan Senate District 5 | 14 | \$340,700 | \$934,300 | 38 |


| District | Total Direct Jobs | Total Direct Wages | Total Direct Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan Senate District 37 | 1,731 | \$50,818,300 | \$168,450,900 | 1 |
| Michigan Senate District 35 | 1,585 | \$42,797,700 | \$150,176,600 | 2 |
| Michigan Senate District 21 | 1,328 | \$36,850,700 | \$125,033,100 | 3 |
| Michigan Senate District 26 | 1,095 | \$40,704,700 | \$121,861,800 | 4 |
| Michigan Senate District 6 | 1,217 | \$34,947,700 | \$84,799,700 | 5 |
| Michigan Senate District 22 | 1,165 | \$28,236,200 | \$73,832,200 | 6 |
| Michigan Senate District 20 | 911 | \$29,297,200 | \$72,279,300 | 7 |
| Michigan Senate District 24 | 959 | \$24,319,500 | \$66,456,200 | 8 |
| Michigan Senate District 28 | 1,139 | \$27,698,100 | \$65,589,500 | 9 |
| Michigan Senate District 31 | 669 | \$25,974,900 | \$65,426,400 | 10 |
| Michigan Senate District 25 | 900 | \$22,342,700 | \$63,839,400 | 11 |
| Michigan Senate District 32 | 844 | \$21,139,300 | \$63,077,400 | 12 |
| Michigan Senate District 12 | 922 | \$25,346,200 | \$61,547,800 | 13 |
| Michigan Senate District 7 | 706 | \$19,772,200 | \$57,331,900 | 14 |
| Michigan Senate District 38 | 814 | \$22,079,800 | \$57,330,600 | 15 |
| Michigan Senate District 30 | 635 | \$18,477,100 | \$56,085,600 | 16 |
| Michigan Senate District 14 | 1,036 | \$23,900,200 | \$55,838,300 | 17 |
| Michigan Senate District 8 | 917 | \$21,901,500 | \$54,809,700 | 18 |
| Michigan Senate District 2 | 407 | \$19,825,300 | \$52,044,900 | 19 |
| Michigan Senate District 17 | 633 | \$16,522,800 | \$50,314,200 | 20 |
| Michigan Senate District 34 | 661 | \$16,791,300 | \$48,680,500 | 21 |
| Michigan Senate District 15 | 790 | \$19,110,300 | \$46,624,000 | 22 |
| Michigan Senate District 36 | 637 | \$15,542,400 | \$42,915,100 | 23 |
| Michigan Senate District 11 | 427 | \$15,041,100 | \$40,657,200 | 24 |
| Michigan Senate District 29 | 547 | \$14,490,900 | \$40,040,000 | 25 |
| Michigan Senate District 23 | 544 | \$16,780,000 | \$39,691,000 | 26 |
| Michigan Senate District 18 | 501 | \$13,563,700 | \$39,334,300 | 27 |
| Michigan Senate District 16 | 541 | \$13,643,900 | \$39,042,200 | 28 |
| Michigan Senate District 33 | 512 | \$12,206,100 | \$32,632,900 | 29 |
| Michigan Senate District 13 | 398 | \$11,068,700 | \$28,666,200 | 30 |
| Michigan Senate District 19 | 457 | \$10,961,500 | \$27,913,800 | 31 |
| Michigan Senate District 9 | 303 | \$10,695,800 | \$27,063,700 | 32 |
| Michigan Senate District 1 | 429 | \$10,152,600 | \$23,836,200 | 33 |
| Michigan Senate District 3 | 330 | \$9,558,400 | \$23,770,200 | 34 |
| Michigan Senate District 10 | 357 | \$9,226,100 | \$21,723,800 | 35 |
| Michigan Senate District 27 | 367 | \$8,788,100 | \$20,874,700 | 36 |
| Michigan Senate District 5 | 265 | \$6,198,600 | \$14,055,200 | 37 |
| Michigan Senate District 4 | 249 | \$5,849,100 | \$13,322,700 | 38 |

## Appendix D - Economic Impact Rankings by County

| County | Winery Jobs | Winery Wages | Winery Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leelanau County | 241 | \$11,356,700 | \$64,276,900 | 1 |
| Berrien County | 204 | \$9,651,200 | \$54,517,900 | 2 |
| Grand Traverse County | 185 | \$8,712,500 | \$49,326,500 | 3 |
| Washtenaw County | 83 | \$3,863,000 | \$22,100,900 | 4 |
| Wayne County | 82 | \$3,762,000 | \$21,714,200 | 5 |
| Ottawa County | 73 | \$3,342,100 | \$19,249,700 | 6 |
| Kent County | 72 | \$3,328,100 | \$19,057,200 | 7 |
| Van Buren County | 59 | \$2,776,200 | \$15,709,700 | 8 |
| Macomb County | 56 | \$2,607,100 | \$14,900,100 | 9 |
| Oakland County | 55 | \$2,576,000 | \$14,576,300 | 10 |
| Saginaw County | 54 | \$2,546,900 | \$14,380,100 | 11 |
| Eaton County | 53 | \$2,508,300 | \$14,162,500 | 12 |
| Monroe County | 52 | \$2,466,500 | \$13,952,600 | 13 |
| Emmet County | 44 | \$2,079,500 | \$11,765,000 | 14 |
| Allegan County | 41 | \$1,917,900 | \$10,914,900 | 15 |
| Genesee County | 38 | \$1,797,800 | \$10,228,800 | 16 |
| Newaygo County | 39 | \$1,751,500 | \$10,159,300 | 17 |
| St. Clair County | 37 | \$1,729,300 | \$9,764,200 | 18 |
| Jackson County | 34 | \$1,615,300 | \$9,120,100 | 19 |
| Benzie County | 19 | \$890,200 | \$5,052,400 | 20 |
| Manistee County | 18 | \$823,100 | \$4,667,000 | 21 |
| St. Joseph County | 17 | \$809,500 | \$4,628,400 | 22 |
| Lenawee County | 16 | \$767,200 | \$4,331,900 | 23 |
| Midland County | 16 | \$726,300 | \$4,135,900 | 24 |
| Kalamazoo County | 13 | \$625,700 | \$3,532,600 | 25 |
| Muskegon County | 13 | \$593,500 | \$3,351,000 | 26 |
| Huron County | 12 | \$583,500 | \$3,295,300 | 27 |
| Alpena County | 12 | \$567,900 | \$3,206,600 | 28 |
| Sanilac County | 12 | \$562,100 | \$3,174,000 | 29 |
| Antrim County | 11 | \$520,700 | \$2,964,400 | 30 |
| Hillsdale County | 11 | \$506,300 | \$2,913,300 | 31 |
| Mason County | 9 | \$449,600 | \$2,538,600 | 32 |
| Livingston County | 9 | \$419,800 | \$2,393,800 | 33 |
| Oceana County | 9 | \$420,000 | \$2,371,200 | 34 |
| Schoolcraft County | 8 | \$386,500 | \$2,182,300 | 35 |
| Charlevoix County | 8 | \$378,600 | \$2,137,700 | 36 |
| Clinton County | 8 | \$355,700 | \$2,069,900 | 37 |
| Ontonagon County | 8 | \$356,900 | \$2,014,900 | 38 |
| Ingham County | 7 | \$339,200 | \$1,915,100 | 39 |
| Menominee County | 7 | \$325,500 | \$1,837,900 | 40 |


| Ogemaw County | 5 | \$236,600 | \$1,336,100 | 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marquette County | 5 | \$214,900 | \$1,213,300 | 42 |
| Mecosta County | 5 | \$203,700 | \$1,155,500 | 43 |
| Arenac County | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 44 |
| Cheboygan County | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 44 |
| Delta County | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 44 |
| Lake County | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 44 |
| Lapeer County | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 44 |
| Otsego County | 4 | \$189,300 | \$1,068,900 | 44 |
| Osceola County | 3 | \$172,900 | \$978,500 | 50 |
| Barry County | 3 | \$142,000 | \$801,700 | 51 |
| Chippewa County | 3 | \$142,000 | \$801,700 | 51 |
| Gratiot County | 3 | \$142,000 | \$801,700 | 51 |
| Gladwin County | 3 | \$120,700 | \$702,600 | 54 |
| Houghton County | 1 | \$45,300 | \$263,500 | 55 |
| Alcona County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Alger County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Baraga County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Bay County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Branch County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Calhoun County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Cass County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Clare County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Crawford County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Dickinson County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Gogebic County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Ionia County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Iosco County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Iron County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Isabella County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Kalkaska County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Keweenaw County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Luce County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Mackinac County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Missaukee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Montcalm County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Montmorency County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Oscoda County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Presque Isle County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Roscommon County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Shiawassee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Tuscola County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |
| Wexford County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 56 |


| County | Vineyard Jobs | Vineyard Wages | Vineyard Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berrien County | 84 | \$2,320,700 | \$3,824,700 | 1 |
| Van Buren County | 49 | \$1,349,200 | \$2,223,700 | 2 |
| Grand Traverse County | 11 | \$309,400 | \$510,000 | 3 |
| Leelanau County | 10 | \$287,800 | \$474,400 | 4 |
| Cass County | 10 | \$264,800 | \$436,400 | 5 |
| Ingham County | 2 | \$46,100 | \$76,000 | 6 |
| Allegan County | 1 | \$34,500 | \$56,900 | 7 |
| Emmet County | 1 | \$19,800 | \$32,600 | 8 |
| Cheboygan County | 0 | \$9,400 | \$15,400 | 9 |
| Charlevoix County | 0 | \$7,600 | \$12,500 | 10 |
| Antrim County | 0 | \$6,500 | \$10,700 | 11 |
| Benzie County | 0 | \$5,000 | \$8,300 | 12 |
| Roscommon County | 0 | \$4,100 | \$6,700 | 13 |
| Oceana County | 0 | \$3,200 | \$5,300 | 14 |
| Iron County | 0 | \$2,600 | \$4,300 | 15 |
| Lapeer County | 0 | \$2,600 | \$4,300 | 15 |
| Calhoun County | 0 | \$2,500 | \$4,200 | 17 |
| Monroe County | 0 | \$2,500 | \$4,200 | 17 |
| Iosco County | 0 | \$2,300 | \$3,800 | 19 |
| Kalamazoo County | 0 | \$2,300 | \$3,800 | 19 |
| Huron County | 0 | \$2,200 | \$3,600 | 21 |
| Jackson County | 0 | \$2,200 | \$3,600 | 21 |
| Muskegon County | 0 | \$1,800 | \$3,000 | 23 |
| Oakland County | 0 | \$1,800 | \$3,000 | 23 |
| St. Clair County | 0 | \$1,800 | \$3,000 | 23 |
| Washtenaw County | 0 | \$1,800 | \$3,000 | 23 |
| Saginaw County | 0 | \$1,800 | \$2,900 | 27 |
| Genesee County | 0 | \$1,500 | \$2,400 | 28 |
| Houghton County | 0 | \$1,200 | \$1,900 | 29 |
| Sanilac County | 0 | \$1,200 | \$1,900 | 29 |
| St. Joseph County | 0 | \$1,200 | \$1,900 | 29 |
| Manistee County | 0 | \$1,100 | \$1,800 | 32 |
| Ionia County | 0 | \$900 | \$1,400 | 33 |
| Macomb County | 0 | \$900 | \$1,400 | 33 |
| Montmorency County | 0 | \$900 | \$1,400 | 33 |
| Ottawa County | 0 | \$900 | \$1,400 | 33 |
| Menominee County | 0 | \$700 | \$1,200 | 37 |
| Newaygo County | 0 | \$700 | \$1,200 | 37 |
| Wayne County | 0 | \$700 | \$1,200 | 37 |
| Alpena County | 0 | \$600 | \$1,000 | 40 |
| Bay County | 0 | \$600 | \$1,000 | 40 |


| Ogemaw County | 0 | \$600 | \$1,000 | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shiawassee County | 0 | \$600 | \$1,000 | 40 |
| Wexford County | 0 | \$600 | \$1,000 | 40 |
| Chippewa County | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 45 |
| Clinton County | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 45 |
| Delta County | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 45 |
| Isabella County | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 45 |
| Lenawee County | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 45 |
| Mason County | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 45 |
| Osceola County | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 45 |
| Tuscola County | 0 | \$300 | \$500 | 45 |
| Alcona County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Alger County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Arenac County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Baraga County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Barry County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Branch County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Clare County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Crawford County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Dickinson County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Eaton County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Gladwin County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Gogebic County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Gratiot County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Hillsdale County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Kalkaska County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Kent County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Keweenaw County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Lake County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Livingston County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Luce County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Mackinac County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Marquette County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Mecosta County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Midland County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Missaukee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Montcalm County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Ontonagon County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Oscoda County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Otsego County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Presque Isle County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |
| Schoolcraft County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 53 |


| County | Wholesale Jobs | Wholesale Wages | Wholesale Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wayne County | 300 | \$26,583,100 | \$73,686,500 | 1 |
| Kent County | 222 | \$19,694,600 | \$54,592,100 | 2 |
| Oakland County | 183 | \$16,183,300 | \$44,859,000 | 3 |
| Bay County | 157 | \$13,869,400 | \$38,445,100 | 4 |
| Kalamazoo County | 115 | \$10,194,000 | \$28,257,200 | 5 |
| Macomb County | 68 | \$6,063,300 | \$16,806,900 | 6 |
| Emmet County | 52 | \$4,623,100 | \$12,815,000 | 7 |
| Ottawa County | 33 | \$2,944,900 | \$8,163,200 | 8 |
| Grand Traverse County | 31 | \$2,776,200 | \$7,695,400 | 9 |
| Washtenaw County | 21 | \$1,846,900 | \$5,119,600 | 10 |
| Marquette County | 16 | \$1,410,100 | \$3,908,600 | 11 |
| Delta County | 14 | \$1,209,000 | \$3,351,100 | 12 |
| Livingston County | 13 | \$1,178,900 | \$3,267,800 | 13 |
| Berrien County | 10 | \$878,400 | \$2,434,900 | 14 |
| Monroe County | 9 | \$783,900 | \$2,173,000 | 15 |
| Mackinac County | 6 | \$517,800 | \$1,435,300 | 16 |
| Wexford County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Van Buren County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Tuscola County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| St. Joseph County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| St. Clair County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Shiawassee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Schoolcraft County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Sanilac County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Saginaw County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Roscommon County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Presque Isle County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Otsego County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Oscoda County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Osceola County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Ontonagon County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Ogemaw County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Oceana County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Newaygo County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Muskegon County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Montmorency County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Montcalm County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Missaukee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Midland County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Menominee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Mecosta County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |


| Mason County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manistee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Luce County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Lenawee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Leelanau County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Lapeer County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Lake County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Keweenaw County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Kalkaska County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Jackson County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Isabella County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Iron County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Iosco County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Ionia County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Ingham County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Huron County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Houghton County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Hillsdale County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Gratiot County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Gogebic County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Gladwin County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Genesee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Eaton County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Dickinson County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Crawford County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Clinton County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Clare County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Chippewa County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Cheboygan County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Charlevoix County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Cass County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Calhoun County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Branch County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Benzie County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Barry County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Baraga County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Arenac County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Antrim County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Alpena County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Allegan County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Alger County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |
| Alcona County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 17 |


| County | Retail Jobs | Retail Wages | Retail Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wayne County | 3,014 | \$70,398,200 | \$157,682,300 | 1 |
| Oakland County | 2,913 | \$65,689,000 | \$147,667,900 | 2 |
| Macomb County | 1,609 | \$37,072,500 | \$81,486,400 | 3 |
| Kent County | 1,580 | \$36,539,400 | \$79,661,100 | 4 |
| Washtenaw County | 957 | \$21,388,400 | \$48,532,400 | 5 |
| Genesee County | 724 | \$16,769,200 | \$37,155,900 | 6 |
| Kalamazoo County | 728 | \$17,186,100 | \$36,935,300 | 7 |
| Ingham County | 607 | \$14,367,100 | \$31,773,800 | 8 |
| Ottawa County | 454 | \$10,562,400 | \$24,232,200 | 9 |
| Berrien County | 368 | \$8,728,300 | \$22,251,300 | 10 |
| Livingston County | 423 | \$9,549,100 | \$21,965,400 | 11 |
| Muskegon County | 397 | \$9,371,400 | \$21,719,600 | 12 |
| Saginaw County | 351 | \$8,070,300 | \$18,568,700 | 13 |
| Eaton County | 298 | \$7,559,500 | \$16,776,800 | 14 |
| Calhoun County | 263 | \$6,267,700 | \$16,463,300 | 15 |
| Isabella County | 231 | \$5,438,500 | \$15,715,800 | 16 |
| Bay County | 286 | \$6,755,400 | \$14,240,500 | 17 |
| St. Clair County | 270 | \$6,276,000 | \$14,123,600 | 18 |
| Jackson County | 266 | \$6,245,000 | \$13,880,200 | 19 |
| Grand Traverse County | 254 | \$5,882,000 | \$13,838,400 | 20 |
| Allegan County | 259 | \$6,021,800 | \$13,727,800 | 21 |
| Monroe County | 252 | \$5,812,300 | \$13,225,900 | 22 |
| Van Buren County | 158 | \$3,895,300 | \$11,531,700 | 23 |
| Clinton County | 217 | \$5,063,000 | \$11,206,800 | 24 |
| Emmet County | 194 | \$4,296,000 | \$11,060,400 | 25 |
| Leelanau County | 194 | \$4,494,100 | \$10,181,900 | 26 |
| Midland County | 153 | \$3,475,300 | \$8,182,300 | 27 |
| Lenawee County | 147 | \$3,387,100 | \$7,617,700 | 28 |
| Chippewa County | 99 | \$2,365,700 | \$7,335,100 | 29 |
| Marquette County | 133 | \$3,067,800 | \$6,776,400 | 30 |
| Lapeer County | 120 | \$2,804,600 | \$6,017,000 | 31 |
| Charlevoix County | 94 | \$2,137,700 | \$4,592,700 | 32 |
| Otsego County | 87 | \$1,859,600 | \$4,483,900 | 33 |
| Wexford County | 82 | \$1,975,300 | \$4,387,000 | 34 |
| St. Joseph County | 80 | \$1,867,300 | \$4,251,200 | 35 |
| Shiawassee County | 85 | \$1,963,200 | \$4,216,500 | 36 |
| Mason County | 78 | \$1,871,500 | \$4,156,600 | 37 |
| Branch County | 74 | \$1,825,800 | \$4,155,500 | 38 |
| Menominee County | 60 | \$1,453,700 | \$4,153,400 | 39 |
| Ionia County | 71 | \$1,734,700 | \$4,004,800 | 40 |
| Cass County | 76 | \$1,792,700 | \$3,988,100 | 41 |


| Mackinac County | 66 | \$1,525,300 | \$3,962,400 | 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alpena County | 70 | \$1,760,700 | \$3,721,300 | 43 |
| Mecosta County | 68 | \$1,567,200 | \$3,686,300 | 44 |
| Baraga County | 83 | \$2,147,800 | \$3,662,100 | 45 |
| Newaygo County | 71 | \$1,695,000 | \$3,654,600 | 46 |
| Barry County | 72 | \$1,688,700 | \$3,554,800 | 47 |
| Cheboygan County | 71 | \$1,587,000 | \$3,523,900 | 48 |
| Tuscola County | 67 | \$1,560,900 | \$3,482,500 | 49 |
| Delta County | 68 | \$1,554,500 | \$3,469,600 | 50 |
| Antrim County | 67 | \$1,572,700 | \$3,430,500 | 51 |
| Montcalm County | 65 | \$1,541,500 | \$3,383,200 | 52 |
| Manistee County | 59 | \$1,495,800 | \$3,301,600 | 53 |
| Huron County | 62 | \$1,421,500 | \$3,060,600 | 54 |
| Benzie County | 58 | \$1,359,300 | \$3,044,600 | 55 |
| Keweenaw County | 64 | \$1,511,600 | \$2,968,300 | 56 |
| Gratiot County | 55 | \$1,322,400 | \$2,941,800 | 57 |
| Gogebic County | 40 | \$978,100 | \$2,855,000 | 58 |
| Iosco County | 51 | \$1,203,600 | \$2,691,100 | 59 |
| Oceana County | 52 | \$1,199,300 | \$2,612,600 | 60 |
| Sanilac County | 49 | \$1,140,700 | \$2,533,100 | 61 |
| Dickinson County | 51 | \$1,205,400 | \$2,455,800 | 62 |
| Roscommon County | 47 | \$1,049,100 | \$2,353,600 | 63 |
| Hillsdale County | 45 | \$1,020,000 | \$2,343,400 | 64 |
| Clare County | 43 | \$1,007,100 | \$2,260,900 | 65 |
| Houghton County | 48 | \$1,117,500 | \$2,243,200 | 66 |
| Ogemaw County | 38 | \$884,700 | \$2,067,000 | 67 |
| Kalkaska County | 36 | \$903,500 | \$2,057,500 | 68 |
| Arenac County | 30 | \$730,700 | \$1,904,000 | 69 |
| Gladwin County | 34 | \$769,600 | \$1,651,000 | 70 |
| Crawford County | 29 | \$634,700 | \$1,563,200 | 71 |
| Ontonagon County | 21 | \$536,500 | \$1,397,600 | 72 |
| Iron County | 27 | \$656,400 | \$1,385,000 | 73 |
| Oscoda County | 21 | \$487,800 | \$1,124,500 | 74 |
| Schoolcraft County | 19 | \$461,000 | \$1,112,200 | 75 |
| Lake County | 21 | \$515,100 | \$1,099,400 | 76 |
| Osceola County | 19 | \$464,200 | \$1,064,600 | 77 |
| Alger County | 18 | \$424,200 | \$1,035,500 | 78 |
| Luce County | 21 | \$529,100 | \$1,000,600 | 79 |
| Presque Isle County | 20 | \$487,100 | \$986,100 | 80 |
| Missaukee County | 18 | \$430,600 | \$931,200 | 81 |
| Alcona County | 17 | \$369,300 | \$857,800 | 82 |
| Montmorency County | 14 | \$318,300 | \$810,200 | 83 |


| County | Association Jobs | Association Wages | Association Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berrien County | 5 | \$301,100 | \$828,600 | 1 |
| Ingham County | 5 | \$301,100 | \$828,600 | 1 |
| Alcona County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Alger County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Allegan County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Alpena County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Antrim County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Arenac County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Baraga County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Barry County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Bay County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Benzie County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Branch County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Calhoun County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Cass County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Charlevoix County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Cheboygan County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Chippewa County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Clare County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Clinton County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Crawford County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Delta County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Dickinson County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Eaton County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Emmet County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Genesee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Gladwin County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Gogebic County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Grand Traverse County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Gratiot County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Hillsdale County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Houghton County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Huron County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Ionia County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Iosco County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Iron County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Isabella County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Jackson County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Kalamazoo County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Kalkaska County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Kent County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |


| Keweenaw County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lake County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Lapeer County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Leelanau County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Lenawee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Livingston County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Luce County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Mackinac County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Macomb County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Manistee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Marquette County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Mason County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Mecosta County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Menominee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Midland County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Missaukee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Monroe County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Montcalm County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Montmorency County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Muskegon County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Newaygo County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Oakland County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Oceana County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Ogemaw County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Ontonagon County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Osceola County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Oscoda County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Otsego County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Ottawa County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Presque Isle County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Roscommon County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Saginaw County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Sanilac County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Schoolcraft County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Shiawassee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| St. Clair County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| St. Joseph County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Tuscola County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Van Buren County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Washtenaw County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Wayne County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Wexford County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |


| County | Research and Education Jobs | Research and Education Wages | Research and Education Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ingham County | 52 | \$4,892,900 | \$12,971,900 | 1 |
| Berrien County | 6 | \$564,600 | \$1,496,800 | 2 |
| Alcona County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Alger County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Allegan County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Alpena County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Antrim County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Arenac County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Baraga County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Barry County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Bay County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Benzie County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Branch County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Calhoun County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Cass County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Charlevoix County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Cheboygan County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Chippewa County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Clare County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Clinton County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Crawford County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Delta County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Dickinson County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Eaton County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Emmet County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Genesee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Gladwin County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Gogebic County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Grand Traverse County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Gratiot County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Hillsdale County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Houghton County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Huron County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Ionia County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Iosco County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Iron County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Isabella County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Jackson County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Kalamazoo County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Kalkaska County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Kent County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |


| Keweenaw County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lake County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Lapeer County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Leelanau County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Lenawee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Livingston County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Luce County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Mackinac County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Macomb County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Manistee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Marquette County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Mason County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Mecosta County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Menominee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Midland County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Missaukee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Monroe County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Montcalm County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Montmorency County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Muskegon County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Newaygo County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Oakland County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Oceana County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Ogemaw County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Ontonagon County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Osceola County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Oscoda County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Otsego County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Ottawa County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Presque Isle County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Roscommon County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Saginaw County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Sanilac County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Schoolcraft County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Shiawassee County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| St. Clair County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| St. Joseph County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Tuscola County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Van Buren County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Washtenaw County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Wayne County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |
| Wexford County | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 |


| County | Tourism Jobs | Tourism Wages | Tourism Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leelanau County | 459 | \$9,412,900 | \$25,660,600 | 1 |
| Berrien County | 434 | \$8,945,800 | \$24,394,000 | 2 |
| Grand Traverse County | 353 | \$7,304,400 | \$19,974,900 | 3 |
| Wayne County | 207 | \$5,561,300 | \$16,079,200 | 4 |
| Oakland County | 224 | \$5,301,700 | \$14,693,900 | 5 |
| Macomb County | 151 | \$3,475,900 | \$9,475,900 | 6 |
| Kent County | 141 | \$3,161,700 | \$8,621,400 | 7 |
| Saginaw County | 135 | \$2,877,700 | \$7,868,100 | 8 |
| Washtenaw County | 127 | \$2,793,600 | \$7,712,900 | 9 |
| Genesee County | 106 | \$2,577,700 | \$7,280,000 | 10 |
| Eaton County | 121 | \$2,538,400 | \$6,922,300 | 11 |
| Van Buren County | 121 | \$2,504,000 | \$6,827,600 | 12 |
| Monroe County | 113 | \$2,358,700 | \$6,434,600 | 13 |
| Jackson County | 92 | \$1,982,400 | \$5,405,400 | 14 |
| St. Clair County | 92 | \$1,954,900 | \$5,333,200 | 15 |
| Emmet County | 90 | \$1,869,200 | \$5,096,200 | 16 |
| Allegan County | 79 | \$1,673,400 | \$4,572,300 | 17 |
| Ottawa County | 75 | \$1,626,700 | \$4,439,100 | 18 |
| Kalamazoo County | 55 | \$1,289,700 | \$3,551,500 | 19 |
| Muskegon County | 47 | \$1,053,300 | \$2,890,300 | 20 |
| Lenawee County | 44 | \$943,100 | \$2,571,300 | 21 |
| Ingham County | 37 | \$873,000 | \$2,386,000 | 22 |
| Marquette County | 27 | \$767,300 | \$2,279,900 | 23 |
| Livingston County | 33 | \$758,600 | \$2,071,100 | 24 |
| Alpena County | 32 | \$732,500 | \$2,065,100 | 25 |
| Manistee County | 34 | \$712,900 | \$1,955,600 | 26 |
| Calhoun County | 30 | \$704,100 | \$1,933,100 | 27 |
| Benzie County | 34 | \$701,600 | \$1,913,400 | 28 |
| Huron County | 32 | \$673,400 | \$1,838,500 | 29 |
| Midland County | 30 | \$652,000 | \$1,786,800 | 30 |
| St. Joseph County | 29 | \$623,500 | \$1,700,400 | 31 |
| Sanilac County | 28 | \$600,100 | \$1,635,600 | 32 |
| Charlevoix County | 19 | \$436,700 | \$1,240,100 | 33 |
| Mason County | 21 | \$448,600 | \$1,224,200 | 34 |
| Oceana County | 19 | \$400,300 | \$1,091,700 | 35 |
| Otsego County | 17 | \$387,800 | \$1,060,300 | 36 |
| Antrim County | 18 | \$384,200 | \$1,049,600 | 37 |
| Schoolcraft County | 18 | \$380,000 | \$1,036,800 | 38 |
| Lapeer County | 17 | \$379,600 | \$1,036,100 | 39 |
| Delta County | 15 | \$358,300 | \$1,013,600 | 40 |
| Menominee County | 17 | \$361,000 | \$985,600 | 41 |


| Ogemaw County | 16 | \$338,700 | \$924,100 | 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ontonagon County | 16 | \$329,800 | \$899,600 | 43 |
| Bay County | 13 | \$316,200 | \$868,800 | 44 |
| Hillsdale County | 14 | \$307,200 | \$838,800 | 45 |
| Newaygo County | 14 | \$300,000 | \$818,100 | 46 |
| Cheboygan County | 13 | \$295,700 | \$806,300 | 47 |
| Chippewa County | 12 | \$281,900 | \$787,000 | 48 |
| Mecosta County | 13 | \$283,100 | \$773,600 | 49 |
| Barry County | 12 | \$277,400 | \$757,700 | 50 |
| Isabella County | 10 | \$250,100 | \$682,000 | 51 |
| Gratiot County | 10 | \$228,400 | \$623,500 | 52 |
| Arenac County | 11 | \$220,700 | \$603,200 | 53 |
| Keweenaw County | 9 | \$203,100 | \$556,400 | 54 |
| Dickinson County | 8 | \$193,300 | \$530,300 | 55 |
| Lake County | 9 | \$187,900 | \$512,800 | 56 |
| Osceola County | 8 | \$165,300 | \$451,300 | 57 |
| Montcalm County | 7 | \$163,000 | \$446,900 | 58 |
| Clinton County | 5 | \$147,600 | \$445,500 | 59 |
| Shiawassee County | 6 | \$143,600 | \$394,400 | 60 |
| Ionia County | 6 | \$142,600 | \$390,900 | 61 |
| Branch County | 6 | \$139,200 | \$380,700 | 62 |
| Wexford County | 5 | \$131,600 | \$359,600 | 63 |
| Gogebic County | 4 | \$112,400 | \$342,000 | 64 |
| Cass County | 4 | \$101,500 | \$278,100 | 65 |
| Iosco County | 4 | \$101,500 | \$277,200 | 66 |
| Roscommon County | 4 | \$96,300 | \$263,300 | 67 |
| Tuscola County | 4 | \$96,000 | \$261,600 | 68 |
| Clare County | 3 | \$58,400 | \$160,600 | 69 |
| Gladwin County | 2 | \$55,900 | \$153,300 | 70 |
| Kalkaska County | 2 | \$48,500 | \$133,600 | 71 |
| Houghton County | 2 | \$46,000 | \$126,000 | 72 |
| Mackinac County | 2 | \$44,300 | \$122,200 | 73 |
| Oscoda County | 2 | \$39,100 | \$107,800 | 74 |
| Presque Isle County | 1 | \$35,600 | \$100,000 | 75 |
| Alcona County | 1 | \$32,600 | \$90,000 | 76 |
| Baraga County | 1 | \$32,100 | \$88,700 | 77 |
| Crawford County | 1 | \$29,800 | \$81,900 | 78 |
| Missaukee County | 1 | \$27,200 | \$74,400 | 79 |
| Montmorency County | 1 | \$24,600 | \$67,800 | 80 |
| Iron County | 1 | \$22,500 | \$61,800 | 81 |
| Alger County | 1 | \$17,300 | \$47,800 | 82 |
| Luce County | 1 | \$14,300 | \$39,500 | 83 |


| County | Total Direct Jobs | Total Direct Wages | Total Direct Output | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wayne County | 3,603 | \$106,305,300 | \$269,163,400 | 1 |
| Oakland County | 3,375 | \$89,751,800 | \$221,800,100 | 2 |
| Kent County | 2,015 | \$62,723,800 | \$161,931,800 | 3 |
| Macomb County | 1,884 | \$49,219,700 | \$122,670,700 | 4 |
| Berrien County | 1,111 | \$31,390,100 | \$109,748,200 | 5 |
| Leelanau County | 904 | \$25,551,500 | \$100,593,800 | 6 |
| Grand Traverse County | 834 | \$24,984,500 | \$91,345,200 | 7 |
| Washtenaw County | 1,188 | \$29,893,700 | \$83,468,800 | 8 |
| Kalamazoo County | 911 | \$29,297,800 | \$72,280,400 | 9 |
| Ottawa County | 635 | \$18,477,000 | \$56,085,600 | 10 |
| Genesee County | 868 | \$21,146,200 | \$54,667,100 | 11 |
| Bay County | 456 | \$20,941,600 | \$53,555,400 | 12 |
| Ingham County | 710 | \$20,819,400 | \$49,951,400 | 13 |
| Saginaw County | 540 | \$13,496,700 | \$40,819,800 | 14 |
| Emmet County | 381 | \$12,887,600 | \$40,769,200 | 15 |
| Eaton County | 472 | \$12,606,200 | \$37,861,600 | 16 |
| Van Buren County | 387 | \$10,524,700 | \$36,292,700 | 17 |
| Monroe County | 426 | \$11,423,900 | \$35,790,300 | 18 |
| Livingston County | 478 | \$11,906,400 | \$29,698,100 | 19 |
| Allegan County | 380 | \$9,647,600 | \$29,271,900 | 20 |
| St. Clair County | 399 | \$9,962,000 | \$29,224,000 | 21 |
| Jackson County | 392 | \$9,844,900 | \$28,409,300 | 22 |
| Muskegon County | 457 | \$11,020,000 | \$27,963,900 | 23 |
| Calhoun County | 293 | \$6,974,300 | \$18,400,600 | 24 |
| Isabella County | 241 | \$5,688,900 | \$16,398,300 | 25 |
| Newaygo County | 124 | \$3,747,200 | \$14,633,200 | 26 |
| Lenawee County | 207 | \$5,097,700 | \$14,521,400 | 27 |
| Marquette County | 181 | \$5,460,100 | \$14,178,200 | 28 |
| Midland County | 199 | \$4,853,600 | \$14,105,000 | 29 |
| Clinton County | 230 | \$5,566,600 | \$13,722,700 | 30 |
| St. Joseph County | 126 | \$3,301,500 | \$10,581,900 | 31 |
| Benzie County | 111 | \$2,956,100 | \$10,018,700 | 32 |
| Manistee County | 111 | \$3,032,900 | \$9,926,000 | 33 |
| Alpena County | 114 | \$3,061,700 | \$8,994,000 | 34 |
| Chippewa County | 114 | \$2,789,900 | \$8,924,300 | 35 |
| Delta County | 101 | \$3,311,400 | \$8,903,700 | 36 |
| Huron County | 106 | \$2,680,600 | \$8,198,000 | 37 |
| Lapeer County | 141 | \$3,376,100 | \$8,126,300 | 38 |
| Charlevoix County | 121 | \$2,960,600 | \$7,983,000 | 39 |
| Mason County | 108 | \$2,770,000 | \$7,919,900 | 40 |
| Antrim County | 96 | \$2,484,100 | \$7,455,200 | 41 |


| Sanilac County | 89 | \$2,304,100 | \$7,344,600 | 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Menominee County | 84 | \$2,140,900 | \$6,978,100 | 43 |
| Otsego County | 108 | \$2,436,700 | \$6,613,100 | 44 |
| Hillsdale County | 70 | \$1,833,500 | \$6,095,500 | 45 |
| Oceana County | 80 | \$2,022,800 | \$6,080,800 | 46 |
| Mecosta County | 86 | \$2,054,000 | \$5,615,400 | 47 |
| Mackinac County | 74 | \$2,087,400 | \$5,519,900 | 48 |
| Cheboygan County | 88 | \$2,081,400 | \$5,414,500 | 49 |
| Barry County | 87 | \$2,108,100 | \$5,114,200 | 50 |
| Wexford County | 87 | \$2,107,500 | \$4,747,600 | 51 |
| Cass County | 90 | \$2,159,000 | \$4,702,600 | 52 |
| Shiawassee County | 91 | \$2,107,400 | \$4,611,900 | 53 |
| Branch County | 80 | \$1,965,000 | \$4,536,200 | 54 |
| Ionia County | 77 | \$1,878,200 | \$4,397,100 | 55 |
| Gratiot County | 68 | \$1,692,800 | \$4,367,000 | 56 |
| Schoolcraft County | 45 | \$1,227,500 | \$4,331,300 | 57 |
| Ogemaw County | 59 | \$1,460,600 | \$4,328,200 | 58 |
| Ontonagon County | 45 | \$1,223,200 | \$4,312,100 | 59 |
| Montcalm County | 72 | \$1,704,500 | \$3,830,100 | 60 |
| Baraga County | 84 | \$2,179,900 | \$3,750,800 | 61 |
| Tuscola County | 71 | \$1,657,200 | \$3,744,600 | 62 |
| Arenac County | 45 | \$1,140,700 | \$3,576,100 | 63 |
| Keweenaw County | 73 | \$1,714,700 | \$3,524,700 | 64 |
| Gogebic County | 44 | \$1,090,500 | \$3,197,000 | 65 |
| Dickinson County | 59 | \$1,398,700 | \$2,986,100 | 66 |
| Iosco County | 55 | \$1,307,400 | \$2,972,100 | 67 |
| Lake County | 34 | \$892,300 | \$2,681,100 | 68 |
| Houghton County | 51 | \$1,210,000 | \$2,634,600 | 69 |
| Roscommon County | 51 | \$1,149,500 | \$2,623,600 | 70 |
| Gladwin County | 39 | \$946,200 | \$2,506,900 | 71 |
| Osceola County | 30 | \$802,700 | \$2,494,900 | 72 |
| Clare County | 46 | \$1,065,500 | \$2,421,500 | 73 |
| Kalkaska County | 38 | \$952,000 | \$2,191,100 | 74 |
| Crawford County | 30 | \$664,500 | \$1,645,100 | 75 |
| Iron County | 28 | \$681,500 | \$1,451,100 | 76 |
| Oscoda County | 23 | \$526,900 | \$1,232,300 | 77 |
| Presque Isle County | 21 | \$522,700 | \$1,086,100 | 78 |
| Alger County | 19 | \$441,500 | \$1,083,300 | 79 |
| Luce County | 22 | \$543,400 | \$1,040,100 | 80 |
| Missaukee County | 19 | \$457,800 | \$1,005,600 | 81 |
| Alcona County | 18 | \$401,900 | \$947,800 | 82 |
| Montmorency County | 15 | \$343,800 | \$879,400 | 83 |

## Appendix E - Top Supplier Impacts by Economic Sector

| Supplier Sector | Supplier <br> Jobs | Supplier <br> Wages | Supplier <br> Output |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real estate | 483 | $\$ 9,861,875$ | $\$ 95,027,926$ |
| Employment services | 279 | $\$ 10,451,778$ | $\$ 17,390,848$ |
| Fruit farming | 264 | $\$ 8,637,009$ | $\$ 14,668,623$ |
| Management of companies and enterprises | 240 | $\$ 34,094,159$ | $\$ 61,809,757$ |
| Wholesale trade | 227 | $\$ 20,141,351$ | $\$ 55,707,143$ |
| Services to buildings | 160 | $\$ 3,877,249$ | $\$ 6,377,618$ |
| Warehousing and storage | 142 | $\$ 7,804,014$ | $\$ 17,032,032$ |
| Accounting, tax preparation, bookkeeping, and payroll |  |  |  |
| services | 134 | $\$ 8,429,197$ | $\$ 13,835,833$ |
| Truck transportation | 123 | $\$ 7,483,567$ | $\$ 20,437,790$ |
| Business support services | 116 | $\$ 4,245,322$ | $\$ 6,728,879$ |
| Independent artists, writers, and performers | 111 | $\$ 833,117$ | $\$ 2,759,558$ |
| Advertising, public relations, and related services | 106 | $\$ 7,902,682$ | $\$ 26,702,729$ |

## Appendix F - Survey Form

## 2017 Michigan Wine Industry/Hard Cider Census Survey

(untitled)

## 2017 Michigan Wine Industry/Hard Cider Census Survey

1. Please choose which of the following best describes your company/organization (if you are a winery with a wineyard, choose winery):
$\checkmark$ Winery
C. Virtual Winery (Licensed as a winery but product is manufactured by a different licensee)

O Cidery
c Other-Write In

2. Do you engage in any of the following wine related activities?

I Maintain a Custom Crush Facility
$\Gamma$ Wine:Hard Cider-related tourism
$\Gamma$ Wine:Hard Cider Distribution
$\Gamma$ Retail (On:Off Premise)
$\Gamma$ Or-site restaurant
I None of the above
3. Does your business include any of the following production? List total annual production for all that apply (include any and all gallons produced on your premises):

4. Do you import any wine grapes or cider apples from outside of Michigan?*

C Yes
$C \mathrm{No}$
5. How much volume do you import and from what states?

6. What part of the state of Michigan do you operate in? (Determine your region either by checking your winery listing on michiganwines.com or from sections listed in the Touring Guide in the 2017 Michigan Wine Country magazine)

C Northwest
C Southwest
s Northeast
c Southeast
$\int$ Upper Peninsula
7. How many people do you employ? *

8. How many work in the winery/cidery vs the vineyard/orchard?

9. What is the total annual payroll for your winery/cidery employees?

10. What is the total annual payroll for your vineyard/orchard employees?

11. How many tasting rooms do you operate under your license in the state of Michigan?

12. How many acres of wine-grape vineyards and orchards do you maintain or pay to have maintained?

13. Does your winery receive tourists?
$\subset$ Yes
$\bigcirc$ No
14. How many total tourism visits peryear (estimate)
$\square$
15. Do you maintain a hotel, bed and breakfast or any similar lodging accommodations?

C Yes
© No
16. Does your winery serve food?

C Yes
C No
17. Do you have any infrastructure related winery or tourism related expenses?(parking, zoning permits, etc.?) *

C Yes
C No
18. Please estimate an annual tot al dallar amount spent on infrastructure related expenses.
$\square$
19. Please estimate your total, annual marketing related expenses that are related to your winery?

20. Please rank your preferred marketing tools, the 1 st being your "mostpreferred."

Diag itams flam the left hand list inta the light hand list ha ardar tham.
Social Media
Television
Print Ads
Billborards
Radio, including internet radio
Web Ads
21. Does your winery contribute to the community through charitable contributions or actions? Please provide annual estimated value (including in-kind and/or financial charitable contributions)

22. Please list the top 3 hindrances to your economic growth.

23. Do you plan to increase wine/hard cider production next year? *
$\ulcorner$ Yes
C No
24. Do you plan to increase wine-grape vineyard acreage next year?

ᄃ Yes
r No
25. If yes how many estimated acres.
$\square$
26. Do you use migrant labor workers?

C Yes
$\bigcirc \mathrm{No}$
27. What amount of your total production is sold through wholesale distribution (amount is preferred; percentage ok, if no amount can be given)

28. What amount of your total production is sold out of your tasting room(s), including through your wine clubs, and direct to consumer shipping (amount is preferred: percentage ok, if no amount can be given)


Thank You!

Thank you for taking our survey. Your response is very important to us.


[^0]:    1 Infogroup is the leading provider of business and consumer data for the top search engines and leading in-car navigation systems in North America. Infogroup gathers data from a variety of sources, by sourcing, refining, matching, appending, filtering, and delivering the best quality data. Infogroup verifies its data at the rate of almost 100,000 phone calls per day to ensure absolute accuracy. Throughout this study, all references to the "wine industry" include the production, wholesaling, and retailing of wine and cider. Throughout this study, the winery count refers to the number of winery facilities. A single winery may have multiple facilities throughout the state or country. Each of these facilities is included in the winery count.

[^1]:    4 More detail on these operations can be found in 2017 Economic Impact Study Of the Wine Industry Methodology and Documentation, prepared for: WineAmerica by John Dunham \& Associates, August 10, 2017 at: http://wineamerica.org/impact. The results of this study differ somewhat from the WineAmerica analysis based on differences in definitions, and the inclusion of certain survey data from Michigan wineries that have led to slightly more jobs in the state than was estimated earlier.

[^2]:    5 Noncitrus Fruits and Nuts 2016 Summary. USDA - NASS. Available at: $\mathrm{http}: / /$ usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/usda/current/NoncFruiNu/NoncFruiNu-06-27-2017.pdf
    Michigan Grape Inventory 2016-2017. Michigan Department of Agriculture \& Rural Development.
    Some of these jobs may be double counted in the winery impacts. Limited data available makes it difficult to estimate the impact from vineyards that are owned and operated by wineries. The impact of winery owned vineyards is already captured in the winery economic impact.

[^3]:    8 Data on wineries from 2017 Economic Impact Study Of the Wine Industry Methodology and Documentation, prepared for: WineAmerica by John Dunham \& Associates, August 10, 2017 at: http://wineamerica.org/impact.
    9012 Economic Census - Retail Trade: Subject Series - Product Lines: Product Lines Statistics by Industry for the U.S. and States: 2012, United States Census Bureau and Table 2.4.5U Personal Consumption Expenditures by Type of Product, U.S. Department of Commerce - Bureau of Economic Analysis.

[^4]:    10 This includes the impact of purchases of supplies and services by wineries located throughout the United States from companies located in Michigan. See 2017 Economic Impact Study Of the Wine Industry Methodology and Documentation, prepared for, WineAmerica by John Dunham \& Associates, August 10, 2017 at: http://wineamerica.org/impact.

[^5]:    11 Infogroup is the leading provider of business and consumer data for the top search engines and leading in-car navigation systems in North America. Infogroup gathers data from a variety of sources, by sourcing, refining, matching, appending, filtering, and delivering the best quality data. Infogroup verifies its data at the rate of almost 100,000 phone calls per day to ensure absolute accuracy.

[^6]:    12 For the analysis, two part-time employees were considered to be one FTE, while 12 seasonal employees were converted to one FTE.

[^7]:    13 There are companies that are licensed as wineries for marketing purposes only. These companies might consist of just a single individual or small group of individuals who have simply developed a label and have sales agreements with restaurants or distributors. The actual wine is produced under contract with either a larger branded winery, or at a so-called custom crush facility that provides all of the labor and equipment. Both custom crush facilities and the companies that market this wine are included in this analysis as wineries.

[^8]:    14 Noncitrus Fruits and Nuts 2016 Summary. USDA - NASS. Available at: http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/usda/current/NoncFruiNu/NoncFruiNu-06-27-2017.pdf
    2012 Census Publications. USDA - NASS, Census of Agriculture - Publications - 2012, agcensus.usda.gov/Publications/2012/. US Department of Agriculture, 2012 Census, USDA, National Agriculture Statistics Service. Farm jobs statistics are measured differently than non-agricultural jobs as most workers are either seasonal or hourly. Many agricultural employees are seasonal workers who move from vineyard to vineyard over the planting and harvest period. A large portion of this data was provided by WineAmerica as it had been collected as part of a prior study conducted for that organization.
    There are companies that are licensed as wineries for marketing purposes only. These companies might consist of just a single individual or small group of individuals who have simply developed a label and have sales agreements with restaurants or distributors. The actual wine is produced under contract with either a larger branded winery, or at a so-called custom crush facility that provides all of the labor and equipment. Both custom crush facilities and the companies that market this wine are included in this analysis as wineries.
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